



NANO Δ GENERALIZED-CLOSED SETS IN NANO TOPLOGICAL SPACES

S. GANESAN*

ABSTRACT. In the present article, we define the concept of $n\Delta g$ -closed and $n\Delta g$ -open sets by using in nano topological spaces, and several properties of that set are studied. Besides, based on the concepts of $n\Delta g$ -closed and $n\Delta g$ -open sets we investigate the notions of $n\Delta g$ -interior, $n\Delta g$ -closure. We also studied a practical example of a $n\Delta g$ -closed set and a $n\Delta g$ -open set.

1. INTRODUCTION

Several notions of open-like and closed-like sets in nano topological spaces were introduced and studied. The beginning was with M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard who initiated the notion of nano forms of weakly open sets, [7]. The concept of closed sets in nano topological spaces was extended to nano generalized closed sets, [1]. However, another extension of closed sets in nano topological spaces called nano \tilde{g} -closed sets was obtained in [10].

Recently, the idea of introducing new classes of open-like and closed-like sets in nano topological spaces is still attracting many researchers, for example [2, 3, 4, 5, 11] and [12].

A set in a topological space is called Δ -open if it is the symmetric difference of two open sets. The notion of Δ -open sets appeared in [8] and in [6]. However, it was pointed out in [8] and in [6] that the notion of Δ -open sets is due to a preprint by M. Veera Kumar. The complement of a Δ -open set is Δ -closed.

A set in a nano topological space is called $n\Delta$ -open if it is the symmetric difference of two nano open sets were initiated, [9].

Preliminary concepts required in our work are briefly recalled in section 2. In section 3, the concept of $n\Delta g$ -closed sets in nano topology is investigated with some properties on $n\Delta g$ -interior, $n\Delta g$ -closure.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C10, 54A05, Secondary 54D15, 54D30.

Key words and phrases. Δ -closed, $n\Delta g$ -closed sets, $n\Delta g$ -interior, $n\Delta g$ -closure.

Received: November 15, 2023. Accepted: December 15, 2023. Published: December 31, 2023.

*Corresponding author.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [7] Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U, R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$.

- (1) The lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $L_R(X)$.
That is, $L_R(X) = \bigcup x \in U \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X\}$ where $R(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by X .
- (2) The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $U_R(X)$.
That is, $U_R(X) = \bigcup x \in U \{R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \phi\}$
- (3) The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be neither in nor as not- X with respect to R and it is denoted by $B_R(X)$. *That is, $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$*

Definition 2.2. [7]

If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is the nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$, then

- (1) The nano interior of the set A is defined as the union of all nano open subsets contained in A and it is denoted by $nint(A)$. That is, $nint(A)$ is the largest nano open subset of A .
- (2) The nano closure of the set A is defined as the intersection of all nano closed sets containing A and it is denoted by $ncl(A)$. That is, $ncl(A)$ is the smallest nano closed set containing A .

Definition 2.3. [8, 6] A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called Δ -open if $A = (B - C) \cup (C - B)$, where B and C are open subsets of X . The complement of Δ -open sets is called Δ -closed sets.

Definition 2.4. [9] A subset S of a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is said to be nano Δ -open set (in short, $n\Delta$ -open) if $S = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$, where A and B are nano-open subsets in U . The complement of nano- Δ -open sets is called nano - Δ -closed sets.

Definition 2.5. [9] The nano interior of a set A is denoted by $nano \Delta$ -int(A) (briefly, $n\Delta$ -int(A)) and is defined as the union of all $n\Delta$ open sets contained in A . i.e., $n\Delta$ -int(A) = $\bigcup \{G : G \text{ is } n\Delta\text{-open and } G \subseteq A\}$.

Definition 2.6. [9] The nano closure of a set A is denoted by $nano \Delta$ -cl(A) (briefly, $n\Delta$ -cl(A)) and is defined as the intersection of all $n\Delta$ -closed sets containing A . i.e., $n\Delta$ -cl(A) = $\bigcap \{F : F \text{ is } n\Delta\text{-closed and } A \subseteq F\}$.

3. $n\Delta g$ -CLOSED SETS

Definition 3.1. A subset A of a space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a $n\Delta$ -generalized-closed (briefly, $n\Delta g$ -closed) set if $n\Delta cl(A) \subseteq T$ whenever $A \subseteq T$ and T is $n\Delta$ -open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

The complement of $n\Delta g$ -closed set is called $n\Delta g$ -open set.

Proposition 3.1. *Every $n\Delta$ -closed set is $n\Delta g$ -closed.*

Proof. Let A be a $n\Delta$ -closed set and T be any $n\Delta$ -open set containing A . Since A is $n\Delta$ -closed, we have $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) = A \subseteq T$. Hence A is $n\Delta g$ -closed. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.1 need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.2. Let $U = \{a, b, c\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $X = \{a\}$. The nano topology $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, U\}$. Then $n\Delta$ -closed sets are $\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, U$ and $n\Delta g$ -closed sets are $\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, U$. Here, $H = \{a, b\}$ is $n\Delta g$ -closed set but it is not $n\Delta$ -closed.

Table 1 Explicate of nano Δ in Example 3.2

A	$n\Delta\text{-cl}(A)$	T is a $n\Delta$ -open
$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$
$\{b\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{b, c\}$
$\{c\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{b, c\}$
$\{a, b\}$	U	U
$\{a, c\}$	U	U
$\{b, c\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$\{b, c\}$
ϕ	ϕ	ϕ
U	U	U

Proposition 3.2. *If P and Q are $n\Delta g$ -closed sets, then $P \cup Q$ is also a $n\Delta g$ -closed set.*

Proof. Let P and Q are $n\Delta g$ -closed sets. Then $n\Delta\text{cl}(P) \subseteq T$ where $P \subseteq T$ and T is $n\Delta$ -open and $n\Delta\text{cl}(Q) \subseteq T$ where $Q \subseteq T$ and T is $n\Delta$ -open. Since P and Q are subsets of T , $(P \cup Q)$ is a subset of T and T is $n\Delta$ -open. Then $n\Delta\text{cl}(P \cup Q) = n\Delta\text{cl}(P) \cup n\Delta\text{cl}(Q)$ which implies that $(P \cup Q)$ is $n\Delta g$ -closed. \square

Remark. *If K and L are $n\Delta g$ -closed sets, then $K \cap L$ is a $n\Delta g$ -closed set.*

Example 3.3. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3.2. Here, $K = \{a, c\}$ and $L = \{b, c\}$ are $n\Delta g$ -closed sets but $K \cap L = \{c\}$ is a $n\Delta g$ -closed set.

Proposition 3.3. *If a subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a $n\Delta g$ -closed if and only if $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) - A$ does not contain any nonempty $n\Delta$ -closed set.*

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that A is $n\Delta g$ -closed. Let S be a $n\Delta$ -closed subset of $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) - A$. Then $A \subseteq S^c$. Since A is $n\Delta g$ -closed, we have $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \subseteq S^c$. Consequently, $S \subseteq (n\Delta\text{cl}(A))^c$. Hence, $S \subseteq n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \cap (n\Delta\text{cl}(A))^c = \phi$. Therefore S is empty.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) - A$ contains no nonempty $n\Delta$ -closed set. Let $A \subseteq G$ and G be $n\Delta$ -closed. If $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \neq G$, then $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \subseteq G^c \neq \phi$. Since $n\Delta\text{cl}(A)$ is a $n\Delta$ -closed set and G^c is a $n\Delta$ -closed set, $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \cap G^c$ is a nonempty $n\Delta$ -closed subset of $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) - A$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \subseteq G$ and hence A is $n\Delta g$ -closed. \square

Proposition 3.4. *If A is $n\Delta g$ -closed in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq n\Delta\text{cl}(A)$, then B is also a $n\Delta g$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$.*

Proof. Let W be a $n\Delta$ -open set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ such that $B \subseteq W$. Then $A \subseteq W$. Since A is $n\Delta g$ -closed, we get, $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \subseteq W$. Now $n\Delta\text{cl}(B) \subseteq n\Delta\text{cl}(n\Delta\text{cl}(A)) = n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \subseteq W$. Therefore, B is also a $n\Delta g$ -closed set of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. \square

Definition 3.4. The intersection of all $n\Delta$ -open subsets of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ containing A is called the $n\Delta$ -kernel of A and denoted by $n\Delta\text{-ker}(A)$.

Table 2 in Example 3.2

A	$n\Delta\text{-ker}(A)$	$n\Delta\text{-cl}(A)$
{a}	{a}	{a}
{b}	{b, c}	{b, c}
{c}	{b, c}	{b, c}
{a, b}	U	U
{a, c}	U	U
{b, c}	{b, c}	{b, c}
ϕ	ϕ	ϕ
U	U	U

Lemma 3.5. A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $n\Delta g$ -closed if and only if $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \subseteq n\Delta\text{-ker}(A)$.

Proof. Suppose that A is $n\Delta g$ -closed. Then $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \subseteq T$ whenever $A \subseteq T$ and T is $n\Delta$ -open. Let $u \in n\Delta\text{cl}(A)$. If $u \notin n\Delta\text{-ker}(A)$, then there is a $n\Delta$ -open set T containing A such that $u \notin T$. Since T is a $n\Delta$ -open set containing A , we have $u \in n\Delta\text{cl}(A)$ and this is a contradiction.

Conversely, let $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \subseteq n\Delta\text{-ker}(A)$. If T is any $n\Delta$ -open set containing A , then $n\Delta\text{cl}(A) \subseteq n\Delta\text{-ker}(A) \subseteq T$. Therefore, A is $n\Delta g$ -closed. □

Definition 3.5. A subset A of a space U is said to be $n\Delta g$ -open if A^c is $n\Delta g$ -closed.

The class of all $n\Delta g$ -open subsets of U is denoted by $n\Delta go(\tau_R(X))$.

Proposition 3.6. Every $n\Delta$ -open set is $n\Delta g$ -open set but not conversely.

Proof. Omitted. □

Proposition 3.7. A subset A of a nano topological space U is said to $n\Delta g$ -open if and only if $P \subseteq n\Delta\text{int}(A)$ whenever $A \supseteq P$ and P is $n\Delta$ -closed in U .

Proof. Suppose that A is $n\Delta g$ -open in U and $A \supseteq P$, where P is $n\Delta$ -closed in U . Then $A^c \subseteq P^c$, where P^c is $n\Delta$ -open in U . Hence we get $n\Delta\text{cl}(A^c) \subseteq P^c$ implies $(n\Delta\text{int}(A))^c \subseteq P^c$. Thus, we have $n\Delta\text{int}(A) \supseteq P$.

conversely, suppose that $A^c \subseteq T$ and T is $n\Delta$ -open in U then $A \supseteq T^c$ and T^c is $n\Delta$ -closed then by hypothesis $n\Delta\text{int}(A) \supseteq T^c$ implies $(n\Delta\text{int}(A))^c \subseteq T$. Hence $n\Delta\text{cl}(A^c) \subseteq T$ gives A^c is $n\Delta g$ -closed. □

Proposition 3.8. In a nano topological space U , for each $u \in U$, either $\{u\}$ is $n\Delta$ -closed or $n\Delta g$ -open in U .

Proof. Suppose that $\{u\}$ is not $n\Delta$ -closed in U . Then $\{u\}^c$ is not $n\Delta$ -open and the only $n\Delta$ -open set containing $\{u\}^c$ is the space U itself. Therefore, $n\Delta\text{cl}(\{u\}^c) \subseteq U$ and so $\{u\}^c$ is $n\Delta g$ -closed gives $\{u\}$ is $n\Delta g$ -open. □

Definition 3.6. For any $A \subseteq U$, $n\Delta g\text{-int}(A)$ is defined as the union of all $n\Delta g$ -open sets contained in A . i.e., $n\Delta g\text{-int}(A) = \cup \{G : G \subseteq A \text{ and } G \text{ is } n\Delta g\text{-open}\}$.

Lemma 3.9. For any $A \subseteq U$, $\text{int}(A) \subseteq n\Delta g\text{-int}(A) \subseteq A$.

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 3.6. \square

Proposition 3.10. For any $A \subseteq U$, the following holds.

- (1) $n\Delta g\text{-int}(A)$ is the largest $n\Delta g$ -open set contained in A .
- (2) A is $n\Delta g$ -open if and only if $n\Delta g\text{-int}(A) = A$.

Proposition 3.11. For any subsets A and B of $(U, \tau_R(X))$, the following holds.

- (1) $n\Delta g\text{-int}(A \cap B) = n\Delta g\text{-int}(A) \cap n\Delta g\text{-int}(B)$.
- (2) $n\Delta g\text{-int}(A \cup B) \supseteq n\Delta g\text{-int}(A) \cup n\Delta g\text{-int}(B)$.
- (3) If $A \subseteq B$, then $n\Delta g\text{-int}(A) \subseteq n\Delta g\text{-int}(B)$.
- (4) $n\Delta g\text{-int}(U) = U$ and $n\Delta g\text{-int}(\phi) = \phi$.

Definition 3.7. For every set $A \subseteq U$, we define the $n\Delta g$ -closure of A to be the intersection of all $n\Delta g$ -closed sets containing A . i.e., $n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A) = \cap \{F : A \subseteq F \in n\Delta g\text{-closed}\}$.

Lemma 3.12. For any $A \subseteq U$, $A \subseteq n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq n\Delta\text{cl}(A)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 3.1. \square

Remark. Both containment relations in Lemma 3.12 may be proper as seen from the following example.

Example 3.8. Let U and $\tau_R(X)$ as in the Example 3.2. Let $A = \{a, b\}$. Here $n\Delta g\text{-cl}(\{a, b\}) = U$ and so $A \subseteq n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq n\Delta\text{cl}(A)$.

Proposition 3.13. For any $A \subseteq U$, the following holds.

- (1) $n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A)$ is the smallest $n\Delta g$ -closed set containing A .
- (2) A is $n\Delta g$ -closed if and only if $n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A) = A$.

Proposition 3.14. For any two subsets A and B of $(U, \tau_R(X))$, the following holds.

- (1) If $A \subseteq B$, then $n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq n\Delta g\text{-cl}(B)$.
- (2) $n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A \cap B) \subseteq n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A) \cap n\Delta g\text{-cl}(B)$.

Proposition 3.15. Let A be a subset of a space U , then the following are true.

- (1) $(n\Delta g\text{-int}(A))^c = n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A^c)$.
- (2) $n\Delta g\text{-int}(A) = (n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A^c))^c$.
- (3) $n\Delta g\text{-cl}(A) = (n\Delta g\text{-int}(A^c))^c$.

Proof. (1) Clearly follows from definitions.

(2) Follows by taking complements in (1).

(3) Follows by replacing A by A^c in (1). \square

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we provide characterizations for $n\Delta g$ -closed sets and $n\Delta g$ -open sets. We define and investigate the notions of $n\Delta g$ -interior, $n\Delta g$ -closure. However, counter examples are given to show distinction between the $n\Delta g$ notions and the usual nano topological notions. For a future work we are going to define and investigate the concepts of $n\Delta g$ -continuous functions and $n\Delta g$ -irresolute functions in nano topological spaces. The results of this study may be help in many researches.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.Bhuvaneshwari and K.Mythili Gnanapriya, Nano generalized closed sets in Nano topological spaces, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 4(5) (2014), 2250-3153.
- [2] K.Bhuvaneshwari and K.Mythili Gnanapriya, On nano generalized pre closed sets and nano pre generalised closed sets in nano topological spaces, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 3(10) (2014), 16825-16829.
- [3] K.Bhuvaneshwari and K.Ezhilarasi, On nano semi generalized and nano generalized semi-closed sets, IJM-CAR, 4(3)(2014), 117-124.
- [4] K.Bhuvaneshwari and K.Ezhilarasi, Nano generalized semi continuity in nanno topological spaces, International Research Journal of Pure Algebra-6(8) 2016, 361-367.
- [5] S. Ganesan, C. Alexander, B. Sarathkumar and K. Anusuya, N^*g -closed sets in nano topological spaces, Journal of Applied Science and Computations, 6(4) (2019), 1243-1252.
- [6] S. Ganesan, On α - Δ -open sets and generalized Δ -closed sets in topological spaces, International Journal of Analytical and Experimental Model Analysis, Volume 12, Issue 10, October (2020), 213-239. (ISSN NO:0886-9367). DOI:18.0002.IJAEMA.2020.V12I10.200001.01568590054681.
- [7] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, On nano forms of weakly open sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1), (2013), 31-37.
- [8] T. M. Nour and Ahmad Mustafa Jaber, semi Δ -open sets in topological spaces, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT), 66(8), Aug (2020), 139-143.
- [9] I. Rajasekaran, On some nano Δ -open set in nano topological spaces, Asia Matematika, 5(2), (2021), 103-106.
- [10] S. M. Sandhya, S. Jeyashri, S. Ganesan and C. Alexander, Between nano closed sets and nano generalized closed sets in nano topological spaces, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 9(2020), no 3, 757-771. <http://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.3.5>.
- [11] S. M. Sandhya, S. Jeyashri, S. Ganesan and C. Alexander, Decomposition of nano α -continuity and nano \tilde{g}_α -continuity, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 9 (2020), no.5, 28732884. ISSN NUMBER 1857-8365 DOI:<https://doi.org/10.37418/amsj.9.5.49>
- [12] R. Thanga Nachiyar and K. Bhuvaneshwari, On nano generalized A-closed sets and nano A-generalized closed sets in nano topological spaces, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 6(13) (2014), 257-260.

S. GANESAN

PG & RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAJA DORAISINGAM GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE, SIVAGANGAI-630561, TAMIL NADU, INDIA. (AFFILIATED TO ALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY, KARAIKUDI, TAMIL NADU, INDIA)

E-mail address: sgsgsgsgsg77@gmail.com