ANNALS OF COMMUNICATIONS IN MATHEMATICS Volume 4, Number 2 (2021), 155-163 ISSN: 2582-0818 © http://www.technoskypub.com



# COMMON FIXED POINT RESULT FOR GENERALIZED $\alpha_*$ - $\psi$ -CONTRACTION FOR C-CLASS FUNCTIONS IN b-METRIC SPACES

#### TAIEB HAMAIZIA\* AND SAID BELOUL

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for  $\alpha_*$ - $\psi$ -contraction in *b*-metric spaces, which generalizes the result of S. Aleksic et al [Remarks on common fixed point results for generalized  $\alpha_*$ - $\psi$ -contraction multivalued mappings in *b*-metric spaces ,Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 9 (2019), No. 1, 1-16] using the concept of *C* class function in *b*-in metric spaces. An example is given to support our results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Banach contraction principle [9] is the simplest and one of the important results in fixed point theory, that is, every contractive mapping T from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself has a unique fixed point z of the mapping T (Tz = z). Sufficient number of authors extended and generalize the concept of a metric space as b-metric spaces, fuzzy metric spaces, Menger metric spaces, quasi metric spaces...

Metric type spaces (or b-metric spaces) is one of the important generalization of metric spaces. This concept was introduced by Bakhtin 1989 [8] and Czerwik 1993 [11].

On the other hand, Nadler [22] introduced the notion of a multi-valued contractive mapping in a complete metric space and also proved Banach's fixed point theorem for a multi-valued mapping in a complete metric space. Moreover many authors proved some fixed point theorems for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in *b*-metric spaces, we refer the reader to ([1], [3], [6], [7], [10], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [19], [20], [21], [23]).

Motivated by the results and notions mentioned above. In this paper, we present new type contractions involving C-class functions and establish several common fixed point theorems for this class of mappings defined on b-metric spaces.Our main result is essentially inspired by S. Aleksic et al [2].

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

We appeal the following notions and preliminaries.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54E50, 58J20, 46J10.

Key words and phrases. b-metric space; Common fixed point; C-class function.

Received: April 29, 2021. Accepted: July 20, 2021. Published: September 30, 2021.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

**Definition 2.1.** Let X be a (nonempty) set and s > 1 be a given real number. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$  is a *b*-metric on X if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions hold:

(b1) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y, (b2) d(x, y) = d(y, x), (b3)  $d(x, z) \le s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ . A triplet (X, d, s) is called a *b*-metric space.

Also, every metric space is a *b*-metric space but the converse is not necessarily true.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let (X, d) be a b-metric space. The following properties are satisfied.

1)  $D(x, B) \leq d(x, b)$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $B \in CB(X)$ .

2)  $D(x,B) \leq H(A,B)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $A, B \in CB(X)$ .

3)  $D(x, A) \leq s(d(x, y) + D(y, B))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $A, B \in CB(X)$ .

Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$  be a given mapping. A mapping  $T \colon X \to CL(X)$  is an

(1) $\alpha_*$ -admissible, if  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies  $\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) \ge 1$ , where  $\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) = \inf \{\alpha(a, b) : a \in Tx, b \in Ty\};$ 

(2)  $\alpha$ -admissible, if for each  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Tx$  with  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$ , we have  $\alpha(y, z) \ge 1$  for all  $z \in Ty$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [4] Let  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be two multi-valued mappings and  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  a function.

The pair (S, T) is said to be triangular  $\alpha_*$  -admissible if the following conditions hold: 1) (S,T) is  $\alpha_*$  -admissible, that is,  $\alpha(x,y) \ge 1$  implies that  $\alpha_*(Sx,Ty) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(Tx,Sy) \ge 1$ ; where  $\alpha_*(A,B) = \inf \{a(x,y)/x \in A, y \in B\}$ ,

2)  $\alpha(x, u) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha(u, y) \ge 1$  imply  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$ :

**Definition 2.3.** [4] Let  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be two multi-valued mappings and  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  a function. The pair (S, T) is said to be  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible if the conditions  $\alpha_*(x, Sx) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(x, Tx) \ge 1$  imply  $\alpha_*(Sx, T^2x) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(Tx, S^2x) \ge 1$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [4] Let  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be two multi-valued mappings and

 $\alpha : X \times X \to [0,\infty)$  a function. The pair (S,T) is said to be triangular  $\alpha_*$  orbital admissible if the following conditions are satisfied :

1) (S,T) is  $\alpha_*$  -orbital admissible,

2)  $\alpha(x,y) \ge 1, \alpha_*(y,Sy) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(y,Ty) \ge 1$  implies that  $\alpha_*(x,Sy) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(x,Ty) \ge 1$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** [22] If  $A, B \in CB(X)$  and k > 1, then for each  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $d(a, b) \leq kH(A, B)$ .

A. H. Ansari [5] introduced the concept of a C-class functions which covers a large class of contractive conditions

**Definition 2.5.** [5] A continuous function  $F : [0, \infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  is called *C*-class function if for any  $s, t \in [0, \infty)^2$ ; the following conditions hold

c1  $F(s,t) \leq s;$ 

c2 F(s,t) = s implies that either s = 0 or t = 0.

An extra condition on F that F(0,0) = 0 could be imposed in some cases if required. The letter C will denote the class of all C- functions.

**Example 2.6.** The following examples shows that the class C is nonempty:

1. F(s,t) = s - t. 2. F(s,t) = ms, for some  $m \in (0,1)$ . 3.  $F(s,t) = \frac{s}{(1+t)^r}$ , for some  $r \in (0,1)$ . 4. $F(s,t) = \frac{\log(t+a^s)}{(1+t)}$ , for some a > 1.

Let u denote the class of the functions  $\varphi:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  which satisfy the following conditions:

a) 
$$\varphi$$
 is continuous ;

b) 
$$\varphi(t) > 0, t > 0$$
 and  $\varphi(0) \ge 0$ 

In 1984, Khan et al. [18] introduced altering distance function as follows:

**Definition 2.7.** [18] A function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

i)  $\psi$  is non-decreasing and continuous,

ii)  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if t = 0.

Let us suppose that  $\Psi$  denote the class of the altering distance functions.

**Definition 2.8.** A tripled  $(\psi, \varphi, F)$  where  $\psi \in \Psi$ ;  $\varphi \in \Phi_u$  and  $F \in C$  is said to be a monotone if for any  $x, y \in [0, \infty)$ ;

$$x \le y \Rightarrow F(\psi(x), \varphi(x)) \le F(\psi(y), \varphi(y)).$$

**Example 2.9.** Let F(s,t) = s - t,  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$ 

$$\psi(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{x} & if \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ x^2 & if \quad x > 1 \end{array} \right. ,$$

then  $(\psi, \varphi, F)$  is monotone.

**Example 2.10.** Let F(s,t) = s - t,  $\varphi(x) = x^2$  $\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x} & if \quad 0 \le x \le 1 \\ x^2 & if \quad x > 1 \end{cases}$ 

then  $(\psi, \varphi, F)$  is monotone.

**Example 2.11.** Let  $F(s,t) = \frac{s}{1+t}$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt[3]{x}$ 

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt[3]{x} & if \quad 0 \le x \le 1\\ x^3 & if \quad x > 1 \end{cases},$$

then  $(\psi, \varphi, F)$  is monotone.

**Example 2.12.** Let  $F(s,t) = \log\left(\frac{t+e^s}{1+t}\right)$ ,  $\varphi(x) = e^x$  and  $\psi(x) = x$  then  $(\psi, \varphi, F)$  is monotone.

**Example 2.13.** Let F(s,t) = s - t,  $\varphi(x) = x^3$ 

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt[3]{x} & if \quad 0 \le x \le 1\\ x^3 & if \quad x > 1 \end{cases}$$

then  $(\psi, \varphi, F)$  is monotone.

# 3. MAIN RESULTS

Firstly, in this section we assume  $\psi$  is altering distance function,  $\varphi$  is ultra altering distance function and F is a C-class function.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (X, d, s > 1) be a b-metric space,  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  a function and  $\varepsilon > 1$ . Let  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be two multi-valued mappings such that for  $x, y \in X$ ; with  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$ ;

the pair (S,T) satisfies the inequality

$$\psi\left(s^{\varepsilon}.H(Sx,Ty)\right) \le F\left(\psi\left(M(x,y)\right),\varphi\left(M(x,y)\right)\right)$$
(3.1)

where

$$M(x,y) = \max\left\{ d(x,y), D(x,Sx), D(y,Ty), \frac{D(x,Ty) + D(y,Sx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied.

(i) (X, d) is an a-complete b-metric space.

(ii) (S,T) is triangular  $\alpha_*$  -orbital admissible.

(iii) There exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha_*(x_0, Sx_0) \ge 1$ .

(iv) S and T are a-continuous multi-valued mappings, or, if  $\{x_n\}$  a sequence in X which converges to  $x \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  satisfies  $\alpha(x_{n_k}, x)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then S and T have a common fixed point.

*Proof.* From (iii), there exists  $x_1 \in Sx_0$  such that  $\alpha_*(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$  and  $x_1 \ne x_0$ . By the inequality (3.1) and (2) in Lemma (2.1), we have

$$0 < \psi\left(s^{\varepsilon}D(x_{1}, Tx_{1})\right) \le \psi\left(s^{\varepsilon}.H(Sx_{0}, Tx_{1})\right) \le F\left(\psi\left(M(x_{0}, x_{1})\right), \varphi\left(M(x_{0}, x_{1})\right)\right).$$
(3.2)

Using Lemma (2.2) for

$$k = s^{\varepsilon},$$

there exists  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that

$$\psi(d(x_1, x_2)) \le \psi(s^{\varepsilon}.H(Sx_0, Tx_1)) \le F(\psi(M(x_0, x_1)), \varphi(M(x_0, x_1))), \quad (3.3)$$
 where

$$M(x_0, x_1) = \max \left\{ d(x_0, x_1), D(x_0, Sx_0), D(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{D(x_0, Tx_1) + D(x_1, Sx_0)}{2s} \right\}$$
  
= 
$$\max \left\{ d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{D(x_0, Tx_1)}{2s} \right\}$$
  
= 
$$\max \left\{ d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1) \right\}.$$

If  $M(x_0, x_1) = D(x_1, Tx_1)$ , then from (3.1), we obtain

$$0 < \psi (D(x_1, Tx_1)) \le \psi (s^{\varepsilon} D(x_1, Tx_1)) \le \psi (s^{\varepsilon} . H(Sx_0, Tx_1))$$
  
$$\leq F (\psi (D(x_1, Tx_1)), \varphi (D(x_1, Tx_1)))$$
  
$$\leq \psi (D(x_1, Tx_1)).$$

Since  $\psi$  is nondecreasing function, so  $D(x_1, Tx_1) = 0$  which is a contradiction. Then

$$\max\left\{d(x_0, x_1), D(x_1, Tx_1)\right\} = d(x_0, x_1).$$

According to the inequality (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(d(x_1, x_2)\right) &\leq \psi\left(s^{\varepsilon}.H(Sx_0, Tx_1)\right) \leq F\left(\psi\left(d(x_0, x_1)\right), \varphi\left(d(x_0, x_1)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \psi\left(d(x_0, x_1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\psi$  is nondecreasing, we get

$$l(x_1, x_2) \le d(x_0, x_1).$$

Similarly, for  $x_2 \in Tx_1$ , there exists  $x_3 \in Sx_2$  such that

Repeating this process, we can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  as follows.

$$\begin{cases} x_{2k+1} \in Sx_{2k}, \\ x_{2k+2} \in Tx_{2k+1}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  Since (S, T) is is triangular  $\alpha_{ast}$  orbital admissible, so from lemma 2.2 we get  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ . Hence we have

$$\psi(d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})) \leq \psi(s^{\varepsilon} H(Tx_{2k}, Sx_{2k+1})) \\
\leq F(\psi(M(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})), \varphi(M(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})))$$
(3.4)

where

$$M(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), D(x_{2k}, Sx_{2k}), D(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1}), \\ \underline{D(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}) + D(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k})}{2s} \end{array} \right\}$$
$$= \max \left\{ d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), D(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1}), \frac{D(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})}{2s} \right\}$$
$$= \max \left\{ d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), D(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1}) \right\}$$

If 
$$M(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) = D(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})$$
, using (3.1), we get  

$$0 < \psi (s^{\varepsilon} D(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})) \le \psi (s^{\varepsilon} . H(Sx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}))$$

$$\le F (\psi (D(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})), \varphi (D(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})))$$

$$\le \psi (D(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence

$$\psi(d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})) \leq \psi(s^{\varepsilon}.H(Sx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})) \leq F(\psi(d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})), \varphi(d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}))) \\ \leq \psi(d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})),$$
(3.5)

which implies

 $d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \le d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}).$ 

Thus for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

 $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le d(x_n, x_{n+1}),$ 

this yields  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is decreasing sequence.

The non increasing of  $\psi$  hold the decreasing the sequence  $\{d(x_{n+1}, x_n)\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = r$  Letting  $n \to \infty$  in (3.5), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi \left( s^{1-\varepsilon} d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \right) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi \left( d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \right)$$
$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} F \left( \psi \left( s^{1-\varepsilon} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \right), \varphi \left( s^{1-\varepsilon} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right) \right)$$
$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi \left( s^{1-\varepsilon} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right).$$

So, we conclud that

$$\psi\left(s^{1-\varepsilon}r\right) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} F\left(\psi\left(s^{1-\varepsilon}r\right), \varphi\left(s^{1-\varepsilon}r\right)\right) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\left(s^{1-\varepsilon}r\right)$$

For  $s^{1-\varepsilon} \neq 0$ , implies r = 0, a contradiction. Hence, we conclude

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$$

Now, we prove that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that the  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which we can find two sequences of positive integers  $\{2m(k)\}$  and  $\{2n(k)\}$  such that for all positive integers k, 2n(k) > 2m(k) > k and  $d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \ge \varepsilon$ .

Let 2n(k) be the smallest such positive integer 2n(k) > 2m(k) > k such that

$$d(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)}) \ge \varepsilon, \qquad d(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)-1}) < \varepsilon$$

by (3.1), we have

$$\psi\left(s^{\varepsilon-1}d(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)}))\right) \leq F\left(\psi\left(M(x_{2n(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)})\right), \varphi\left(M(x_{2n(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)})\right)\right) \\ = F\left(\psi\left(d(x_{2n(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)})\right), \varphi\left(d(x_{2n(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)})\right)\right)$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  we have

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \le \psi(s^{\varepsilon-1}\varepsilon) \le F(\psi(\varepsilon),\varphi(\varepsilon)) \le \psi(\varepsilon)$$

Then  $\psi(\varepsilon) = 0$  contradiction with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus  $(x_n)$  is a *b*-Cauchy sequence in *X*.  $\alpha$ -completeness of (X, d) implies the existence of  $z \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, z) = 0$$

which implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{2k+1}, z) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{2k+2}, z) = 0$ . If S, T are  $\alpha$ -continuous, this gives  $\lim_{n\to\infty} H(Tx_{2k+1}, z) = 0$ . Using triangular inequality e get

$$D(z, Tz) \le s(d(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k}) + H(Tx_{2k+1}, Tz))$$

passing to limit we obtain D(z, Tz) = 0, this yields  $z \in Tz$ . Similarly we can show easily  $z \in Tz$  and z is a common fixed point for S and T.

If for a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X which converges to  $x \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  satisfies  $\alpha(x_{n_k}, x)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $x_n \to z$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n_k}, x)$ , so we have

$$D(z, Tz) \le s(d(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k}) + H(Tx_{2k+1}, Tz)).$$

This complete the proof.

The following results are a special case of the main result

**Corollary 3.2.** Let (X, d) be a b-metric space,  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  a function and  $\varepsilon > 1$ . Let  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be two multi-valued mappings such that for  $x, y \in X$  with  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  we have

$$\psi(s^{\varepsilon}H(Sx,Ty)) \le \psi(M(x,y)) - \varphi(M(x,y)), \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$M(x,y) = \max\left\{ d(x,y), D(x,Sx), D(y,Ty), \frac{D(x,Ty) + D(y,Sx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then S and T have a common fixed point.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let (X, d) be a b-metric space,  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  a function and  $\varepsilon > 1$ . Let  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be two multi-valued mappings such that for  $x, y \in X$  with  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  we have

$$s^{\varepsilon}H(Sx,Ty)) \le \phi(M(x,y)), \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$  is a lower semi continuous function satisfying  $\phi(t) = 0$  if and only if t = 0.

$$M(x,y) = \max\left\{ d(x,y), D(x,Sx), D(y,Ty), \frac{D(x,Ty) + D(y,Sx)}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then S and T have a common fixed point.

*Proof.* It suffices to taking  $\psi = I$  and  $\phi = I - \varphi$  in Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ . Define  $S, T: X \to CB(X)$  and  $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \{2\}, & x \in \{1, 2\} \\ \{1\}, & x = 3 \end{cases}$$
$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{3\}, & x = 1 \\ \{2\}, & x \in \{2, 3\} \end{cases}$$

and

,

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & (x,y) \in \{(3,1)\}\\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We claim that S and T satisfy (3.1), by taking s = 2,  $F(m,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}m$ ,  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\psi(t) = t$ . For that, we need to show that

$$2^{\varepsilon}H(Sx,Ty) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}M_s(x,y).$$

Note that H(Tx,Ty) > 0 and  $\alpha(x,y) \ge 1$  if and only if  $(x,y) \in X^2 - \{(2,2), (1,2), (1,3), (2,3), (3,1)\}$ .

(1) For x = 1 and y = 1, we have

$$H(S1, T1) = 1 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}D(1, T1) = 2\sqrt{2}.$$

which implies

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}}H(S1,T1) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}M(1,1).$$

(2) For x = 2 and y = 1, we have

$$H(S2,T1) = 1 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}D(1,T1) = 2\sqrt{2},$$

which implies

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}}H(S2,T1) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}M(2,1).$$

(3) For x = 3 and y = 2, we have

$$H(S3, T2) = 1 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}D(3, S3) = 2\sqrt{2},$$

which implies

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}}H(S3,T2) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}M(3,2).$$

(4) For x = 3 and y = 3, we have

$$H(S3,T3) = 1 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}D(3,S3) = 2\sqrt{2},$$

which implies

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}}H(S3,T3) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}M(3,3).$$

Consequently, S and T satisfy (3.1). Moreover, it is easy to see that (S, T) is triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible. Indeed

For  $(x, y) \in \{1, 2\}$ , we have

 $\alpha_*(x, Sx) = \alpha_*(x, Tx) = 1 \ge 1, and \ \alpha_*(Sx, T^2x) = \alpha_*(Tx, S^2x) = 1 \ge 1,$ 

then (S,T) is  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible.

For  $(x, y) \in \{1, 2\}$ , we have

$$\alpha(x, y) = 1, and \ \alpha_*(y, Sy) = \alpha_*(y, Ty) = 1 \ge 1.$$

and

$$\alpha_*(x, Sy) = \alpha_*(x, Ty) = 1 \ge 1.$$

Hence (S,T) is triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible, there exists  $x_0 = 1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha_*(1,S1) \ge 1$ . Also, For  $x_n = 1$ , we have  $x_n$  converges to  $1 \in X$ , such that

 $\forall n \geq 0, \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \alpha(1, 1) = 1 \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha(x_n, 1) = 1 \geq 1$ , so it suffices to choose  $x_{n_k} = x_n, \forall \varepsilon > 0$ . Consequently, all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Then T, S have a fixed point which is 2.

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the reviewers valuable comments that improved the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- A. Aghajani, M. Abbas and J.R. Roshan. Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b-metric spaces, Math. Slovaca, 4 (2014), 941–960.
- [2] S. Aleksic, T. Dosenovic, Z. D. Mitrovic and S. Radenovic. Remarks on common fixed point results for generalized α<sub>\*</sub> - ψ-contraction multivalued mappings in *b*-metric spaces, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 9(1) (2019), 1–16.
- [3] A. Aliouche and T. Hamaizia. Common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces with an application to integral inclusions. The Journal of Analysis, 2021, doi: 10.1186/s13660-017-1528-3.
- [4] E. Ameer, M. Arshad and W. Shatanawi. Common fixed point results for generalized α<sub>\*</sub> ψ-contraction multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 19(4) (2017), 3069–3086.
- [5] A. H. Ansari. Note on  $\varphi$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point", The 2nd regional conference on mathematics and applications, Payame Noor University, 2014, p 377-380.
- [6] M. Arshad, E. Ameer and E. Karapinar. Generalized contractions with triangular α-orbital admissible mapping on Branciari metric spaces. J Inequal. Appl., 2016, doi: 10.1186/s13660-016-1010-7.
- [7] H. Aydi, A. Felhi and S. Sahmim. Common fixed points in rectangular b-metric spaces using (E:A) property, J. Adv. Math. Stud., 8(2) (2015), 159–169.
- [8] I. A. Bakhtin. The contraction principle in quasi metric spaces, Funct. Anal., 30 (1989), 26–37.
- [9] S. Banach. Sur les oprations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux quations intgrales, Fund. Math. 3 (1922), 133–181.
- [10] M. Boriceanu. Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b-metric spaces, Int. J. Modern Math., 4 (2009), 285–301.
- [11] S. Czerwik. Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform., Univ. Ostrav., 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [12] T. Hamaizia. Fixed point theorems for generalized  $(\psi, \varphi, F)$ -contraction type mappings in b-metric spaces with applications, Open Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 5(1) (2021), 35–41.
- [13] T. Hamaizia and A. Aliouche. A nonunique common fixed point theorem of Rhoades type in b-metric spaces with applications, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 12(2) (2021), 399–413.
- [14] A. A. Harandi. Fixed point theory for quasi-contraction maps in b-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory 15(2) (2014), 351–358.
- [15] N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh, J. R. Roshan and Z. Kadelburg. Fixed points of cyclic weakly ( $\psi, \varphi, L, A, B$ )contractive mappings in ordered b-metric spaces with applications, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 256 (2013), 1–18.

- [16] N. Hussain and Z. D. Mitrovic. On multivalued weak quasi-contractions in *b*-metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10 (2017), 3815–3823.
- [17] M. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro and F. Vetro. Fixed points for multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces. Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2015, doi: 10.1155/2015/718074.
- [18] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa. Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 30(1) (1989), 1–9.
- [19] L. Liu and F. Gu. Common fixed point theorems for six self-maps in b-metric spaces with nonlinear contractive conditions, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9 (2016), 5909–5930.
- [20] S. Merdaci and T. Hamaizia. Some fixed point theorems of rational type contraction in b-metric spaces, Moroccan J. of Pure and Appl. Anal., 7(3) (2021), 350–363.
- [21] R. Miculescu and A. Mihail. New fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in b-metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 19(3) (2017), 2153–2163.
- [22] S. B. Nadler. Multi-valued contractions mappings, Pacific J. Math., 30(2) (1969), 475-488.
- [23] W. Shatanawi and M. B. Hani. A fixed point theorem in b-metric spaces with nonlinear contractive condition. Far East J. Math. Sci. 100 (2016), 1901–1908.

TAIEB HAMAIZIA

LABORATORY OF DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND CONTROL, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, LARBI BEN M'HIDI UNIVERSITY, OUM-EL-BOUAGHI, 04000, ALGERIA

Email address: tayeb042000@yahoo.fr

SAID BELOUL

FACULTY OF EXACT SCIENCE, EL-OUED UNIVERSITY, ALGERIA *Email address*: beloulsaid@gmail.com