ANNALS OF COMMUNICATIONS IN MATHEMATICS Volume 5, Number 1 (2022), 55-62 ISSN: 2582-0818 © http://www.technoskypub.com



ON (S-CLOSED SETS AND SEMI (S-CLOSED IN NANO TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

I. RAJASEKARAN*, N. SEKAR AND A. PANDI

ABSTRACT. In this article focuss on nano G-closed sets and nano s_s -closed sets are introduce and study. Also, we introduce and study nano G-continuous functions and nano s_s -continuous functions. Furthermore, we introduce the notions of nano topological spaces called nano $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space and nano S- T_s space.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Several idea of nano topology have been generalized by considering the concept of nano semi-open sets due to M. L. Thivagar (2013) instead of nano open sets. The study of nano generalized closed sets in a nano topological space was initiated by K. Bhuvaneshwari (2014) and introduced the class of nano semi-generalized closed (*nsg*-closed), nano generalized semi-closed (*ngs*-closed) sets are used them to obtain some properties.

In this article focuss on called nano (S)-closed sets and nano (S)_s-closed sets are introduce and study. Also, we introduce and study nano (S)-continuous functions and nano (S)_scontinuous functions. Furthermore, we introduce the notions of nano topological spaces called nano (S)- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space and nano (S)- T_s space.

Definition 1.1. [9] Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U, R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$.

(1) The lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by $L_R(X)$. That is, $L_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X\}$, where $\tau_R(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by x.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A05, 54A20, 54D10.

Key words and phrases. nano (S)-closed sets; nano (S)s-closed sets; nano topological spaces.

Received: April 24, 2022. Accepted: June 20, 2022. Published: June 30, 2022.

^{*}Corresponding author.

- (2) The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by U_R(X). That is, U_R(X) = ⋃_{x∈U}{R(x) : R(x) ∩ X ≠ φ}.
- (3) The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be classified neither as X nor as not X with respect to R and it is denoted by B_R(X). That is, B_R(X) = U_R(X) L_R(X).

Definition 1.2. [7] Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) U and $\phi \in \tau_R(X)$,
- (2) The union of the elements of any sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$,
- (3) The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

Thus $\tau_R(X)$ is a topology on U called the nano topology with respect to X and $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called the nano topological space. The elements of $\tau_R(X)$ are called nano-open sets (briefly n-open sets). The complement of a n-open set is called n-closed.

Through out this paper, we denote a nano topological space by (U, \mathcal{N}) , (U', \mathcal{N}') where $\mathcal{N} = \tau_R(X)$. The nano- interior and nano-closure of a subset H of U are denoted by $I_n(H)$ and $C_n(H)$, respectively.

Definition 1.3. [7] A subset H of a space (U, \mathcal{N}) is called

- (1) nano semi-open (resp. *ns*-open) if $H \subseteq C_n(I_n(H))$.
- (2) nano α -open (resp. $n\alpha$ -open) if $H \subseteq I_n(C_n(I_n(H)))$.
- (3) nano regular-open (resp. nr-open) if $H = I_n(C_n(H))$.

The complements of the above mentioned sets are called their respective closed sets.

Definition 1.4. A subset H of a nano topological space (U, \mathcal{N}) is called

- (1) nano generalized closed (resp. ng-closed) [1] if $C_n(H) \subseteq G$, whenever $H \subseteq G$ and G is n-open.
- (2) nano semi generalized closed (resp. nsg-closed) [3] if $sC_n(H) \subseteq G$, whenever $H \subseteq G$ and G is ns-open.
- (3) nano generalized semi closed (resp. ngs-closed) [3] if $sC_n(H) \subseteq G$, whenever $H \subseteq G$ and G is n-open.

Definition 1.5. A function $f: (U, \mathcal{N}) \to (U', \mathcal{N}')$ is said to be

- (1) nano continuous (resp. *n*-continuous) [7] if $f^{-1}(H')$ is *n*-open in (U, \mathcal{N}) for every *n*-open set H' of (U', \mathcal{N}') .
- (2) nano generalized-continuous (resp. ng-continuous) [2] if f⁻¹(H') is ng-closed in (U, N) for every n-closed set H' of (U', N').
- (3) nano semi generalized-continuous (resp. nsg-continuous) [4] if f⁻¹(H') is nsg-closed in (U, N) for every n-closed set H' of (U', N').
- (4) nano generalized semi-continuous (resp. ngs-continuous) [10] if f⁻¹(H') is ngs-closed in (U, N) for every n-closed set H' of (U', N').
- (5) nano semi-continuous (resp. ns-continuous) [5] if f⁻¹(H') is ns-open in (U, N) for every n-open set H' of (U', N').
- (6) nano contra-continuous (resp. nc-continuous) [8] if f⁻¹(H') is n-closed in (U, N) for every n-open set H' of (U', N').
- (7) nano perfectly-continuous (resp. *np*-continuous) [8] if $f^{-1}(H')$ is both *n*-open and *n*-closed in (U, \mathcal{N}) for every *n*-open set H' of (U', \mathcal{N}') .

56

2. ON SOME (S)-CLOSED SETS

Definition 2.1. Let (U, \mathcal{N}) be a nanotopological space and $H \subseteq U$ is said to be,

- (1) nano (S)-closed (resp. n(S)-closed) if $H \subseteq G$, $G \in ns$ -open $\implies sC_n(H) \subseteq I_n(G)$.
- (2) nano S_s -closed (resp. nS_s -closed) if $H \subseteq G, G \in ns$ -open $\implies sC_n(H) \subseteq I_n(C_n(G))$.

Proposition 2.1. A nanotopological space (U, \mathcal{N}) , if

- (1) *H* is *n*-open and *ns*-closed \implies *H* is *n*(S)-closed
- (2) *H* is nS-closed set \implies *H* is nS_s-closed and ngs-closed.
- *Proof.* (1) Let H be a n-open and ns-closed and $H \subseteq G$, where G is a ns-open. Then, $sC_n(H) = H = I_n(H) \subseteq I_n(H)$. Hence, H is nS-closed.
 - (2) Let H be a n(S)-closed and $H \subseteq G$, where G is ns-open. Then, $sC_n(G) \subseteq I_n(G) \subseteq C_n(I_n(G))$. Hence, H is a (S) $_s$ -closed. To prove the second part, let H be a n(S)-closed and $H \subseteq G$, where G is a n-open. Then, $sC_n(H) \subseteq I_n(G) \subseteq G$. Hence, H is ngs-closed.

Remark. In Proposition 2.1, the converses are not necessarily true.

- (1) Not every nS-closed set is ns-closed.
- (2) Not every n (S)-closed set is n (S)_s-closed.
- (3) Not every n s-closed set is ngs-closed.
- **Example 2.2.** (1) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1, Y_2\}, \{Y_3, Y_4\}\}, X = \{Y_3, Y_4\}$ and $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_3, Y_4\}, U\}$. The subset $\{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}$ is *n*(S)-closed but not *ns*-closed.
 - (2) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_1\} \text{ and } \mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_1\}, U\}.$ The subset $\{Y_1\}$ is $n \otimes_s$ -closed but it is neither $n \otimes$ -closed nor ngs-closed. Therefore $\{Y_1\}$ is not ng-closed.
 - (3) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_2, Y_3\}$ and $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_2\}, \{Y_3\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}, U\}$. The subset $\{Y_1\}$ is ng-closed and ngsclosed but it is neither $n \otimes_s$ -closed nor $n \otimes$ -closed.

Remark. In nano topological spaces,

- (1) nq-closed and n(s)-closed are independent.
- (2) ngs-closed and $n(S)_s$ -closed are independent.
- (3) ng-closed and n(S)_s-closed are independent.

Example 2.3. By Example 2.2(3),

- (1) the subset $\{Y_1\}$ is ng-closed but nS-closed.
- (2) the subset $\{Y_2\}$ is n solutions of N_2 -closed but not n_2 -closed.
- (3) the subset $\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ is ng-closed but not $n \circledast_s$ -closed.
- (4) the subset $\{Y_2, Y_3\}$ is $n \otimes_s$ -closed but not ng-closed.

Example 2.4. Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_1\}$ then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_1\}, U\}$.

- (1) the subset $\{Y_2\}$ is ngs-closed but $n \circledast_s$ -closed.
- (2) the subset $\{Y_1\}$ is $n \otimes_s$ -closed but not ngs-closed.

Remark. We have the following relationship between nS-closed sets, nS_s-closed sets and related sets.

		Diagram -I		
<i>n</i> -clopen	\rightarrow	<i>n</i> -closed	\rightarrow	ns-closed
\downarrow		\downarrow		\downarrow
<i>n</i> -open and <i>ns</i> -closed	\leftrightarrow	ng-closed	\leftrightarrow	nsg-closed
\downarrow				\downarrow
n(S)-closed	\leftrightarrow	$n \circledast_s$ -closed	\leftrightarrow	ngs-closed
a stand and		Diagram -II		u @ alagad ast
$n_{\rm S}$ -closed set	\leftrightarrow	ng-closed set	\leftrightarrow	n(s)-closed set
				\downarrow
				ngs-closed set

Proposition 2.2. If a subset H is nS-closed, then $sC_n(H) - H$ does not contain a non empty ns-closed set.

Proof.

Let G be a ns-closed set such that $G \subseteq sC_n(H) - H$. Then $G \subseteq sC_n(H)$ and $H \subseteq U - G$. Since H is n(s)-closed, then $sC_n(H) \subseteq I_n(U - G) = U - C_n(G)$. Therefore, $G \subseteq C_n(G) \subseteq U - sC_n(H)$. Hence, $G \subseteq (U - sC_n(H)) \cap sC_n(H) = \phi$.

Proposition 2.3. If a subset H is $n \otimes_s$ -closed, then $sC_n(H) - H$ does not contain a non empty ns-clopen set.

Proof. Let G be ns-clopen such that $G \subseteq sC_n(H) - H$. Then we have that $H \subseteq U - G$ and $sC_n(H) \subseteq I_n(C_n(U - G)) = U - C_n(I_n(G))$. Thus we obtain $G \subseteq C_n(I_n(G)) \subseteq U - sC_n(H)$. Therefore, $G \subseteq (U - sC_n(H)) \cap sC_n(H) = \phi$.

Proposition 2.4. If a subset H of (U, \mathcal{N}) is ns-open and nS-closed, then it is ns-closed.

Proof. Since H is ns-open and nS-closed, then $sC_n(H) \subseteq I_n(H) \subseteq H$. Hence, $sC_n(H) = H$ and H is ns-closed.

Theorem 2.5. A subset H of nono topological spaces is nr-open \iff H is $n\alpha$ -open and n(S)-closed.

Proof. Suppose H is $n\alpha$ -open and nS-closed set. Then H is ns-open and nS-closed and by Proposition 2.4, H is ns-closed. So, $I_n(C_n(H)) \subseteq H$. Since H is $n\alpha$ -open, then $H \subseteq I_n(C_n(I_n(H))) \subseteq I_n(C_n(H))$. Thus, $H = I_n(C_n(H))$ and H is nr-open.

Conversely, let H be nr-open, then H is $n\alpha$ -open. Since H is nr-open, n-open and ns-closed. By Proposition 2.1, H is nS-closed.

Theorem 2.6. Every *n*-open set is ngs-closed $\iff n$ S-closed.

Proof. Let H be n-open and ngs-closed set. Assume that $H \subseteq G$, where G is a ns-open set. Thus $H = I_n(H) \subseteq I_n(G)$. Since $I_n(G)$ is n-open in U and H is ngs-closed, then $sC_n(H) \subseteq I_n(G)$ and H is nS-closed set.

Conversely, it is obvious that every n(S)-closed set is ngs-closed.

3. ON NANO (S)-CONTINUITY AND NANO (S)_s-CONTINUITY

Definition 3.1. A function $f: (U, \mathcal{N}) \to (U', \mathcal{N}')$ is said to be

- (1) nano S-continuous (resp. nS-continuous) if $f^{-1}(H')$ is nS-closed in (U, \mathcal{N}) for every n-closed set H' of (U', \mathcal{N}') .
- (2) nano S_s-continuous (resp. nS_s-continuous) if f⁻¹(H') is nS_s-closed in (U, N) for every n-closed set H' of (U', N').
- (3) nano S-irresolute (resp. nS-irresolute) if f⁻¹(H') is nS-closed in (U, N) for every nS-closed set H' of (U', N').
- (4) nano S_S-irresolute (resp. nS_s-irresolute) if f⁻¹(H') is nS_s-closed in (U, N) for every nS_s-closed set H' of (U', N').

Proposition 3.1. In a nano topological spaces,

(1) Every nS-continuous function is ngs-continuous.

- (2) Every nS-continuous function is nS_s -continuous.
- (3) Every nc-continuous and ns-continuous function is nS-continuous.

Remark. We have the following relationship between n(S)-closed sets, n(S)_s-closed sets and related sets.

		Diagram -III		
np-cts	\rightarrow	<i>n</i> -cts	\rightarrow	ns-cts
\downarrow		\downarrow		\downarrow
<i>n</i> -contra cts and <i>ns</i> -cts	$\leftrightarrow\!$	ng-cts	\leftrightarrow	nsg-cts
\downarrow				\downarrow
n(s)-cts	\leftrightarrow	$n \circledast_s$ -cts	\leftrightarrow	ngs-cts
n (S) $_s$ -cts	\leftrightarrow	Diagram -IV <i>ns</i> -cts	\leftrightarrow	n S -cts
				+
				nsg-cts

Remark. In Proposition 3.1, the converses are not necessarily true.

- (1) Not every *nqs*-continuous function is n(S)-continuous.
- (2) $n(S)_s$ -continuous function need not be n(S)-continuous.

(3) n (3)-continuous function is not always ns-continuous.

- **Example 3.2.** (1) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_1\}$ then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_1\}, U\}$ and let $U' = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U'}{R} = \{\{Y_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_3\}\}, X' = \{Y_2, Y_3\}$ then $\mathcal{N}' = \{\phi, \{Y_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_3\}, U'\}$. Clearly $f : (U, \mathcal{N}) \to (U', \mathcal{N}')$ is defined by $f(Y_1) = Y_1, f(Y_2) = Y_2$ and $f(Y_3) = Y_3$. Thus f is ngs-continuous but not n(S)-continuous.
 - (2) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_1\}$ then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_1\}, U\}$ and let $U' = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U'}{R} = \{\{Y_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_3\}\}, X' = \{Y_1, Y_3\}$ then $\mathcal{N}' = \{\phi, \{Y_1, Y_3\}, U'\}$. Clearly $f : (U, \mathcal{N}) \to (U', \mathcal{N}')$ is defined by $f(Y_1) = Y_2, f(Y_2) = Y_3$ and $f(Y_3) = Y_1$. Thus f is $n \otimes_s$ -continuous but not $n \otimes$ -continuous.
 - (3) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_1\}$ then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_1\}, U\}$ and let $U' = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U'}{R} = \{\{Y_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_3\}\}, X' = \{Y_1, Y_3\}$ then $\mathcal{N}' = \{\phi, \{Y_1, Y_3\}, U'\}$. Clearly $f : (U, \mathcal{N}) \to (U', \mathcal{N}')$ is defined by $f(Y_1) = Y_1, f(Y_2) = Y_2$ and $f(Y_3) = Y_3$. Thus f is *ns*-continuous but not *n*(S)-continuous.

Remark. In a nano topological spaces,

- (1) $n \otimes_s$ -continuity and ng-continuity are independent.
- (2) nS_s-continuity and ngs-continuity are independent.
- **Example 3.3.** (1) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_1\}$ then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_1\}, U\}$ and let $U' = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U'}{R} = \{\{Y_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_3\}\}, X' = \{Y_2, Y_3\}$ then $\mathcal{N}' = \{\phi, \{Y_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_3\}, U'\}$. Clearly $f : (U, \mathcal{N}) \to (U', \mathcal{N}')$ is defined by $f(Y_1) = Y_1, f(Y_2) = Y_2$ and $f(Y_3) = Y_3$. Thus f is ng-continuous but not $n(\widehat{s})_s$ -continuous.
 - (2) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_1\}$ then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_1\}, U\}$ and let $U' = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U'}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X' = \{Y_2, Y_3\}$ then $\mathcal{N}' = \{\phi, \{Y_2, Y_3\}, U'\}$. Clearly $f : (U, \mathcal{N}) \to (U', \mathcal{N}')$ is defined by $f(Y_1) = Y_1, f(Y_2) = Y_2$ and $f(Y_3) = Y_3$. Thus f is $n \otimes_s$ -continuous but not ng-continuous.
 - (3) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_1\}$ then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_1\}, U\}$ and let $U' = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U'}{R} = \{\{Y_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_3\}\}, X' = \{Y_2, Y_3\}$ then $\mathcal{N}' = \{\phi, \{Y_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_3\}, U'\}$. Clearly $f : (U, \mathcal{N}) \to (U', \mathcal{N}')$ is defined by $f(Y_1) = Y_1, f(Y_2) = Y_2$ and $f(Y_3) = Y_3$. Thus f is ngs-continuous but not $n \otimes_{s}$ -continuous.
 - (4) Let $U = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X = \{Y_1\}$ then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{Y_1\}, U\}$ and let $U' = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3\}, \frac{U'}{R} = \{\{Y_1\}, \{Y_2, Y_3\}\}, X' = \{Y_2, Y_3\}$ then $\mathcal{N}' = \{\phi, \{Y_2, Y_3\}, U'\}$. Clearly $f : (U, \mathcal{N}) \to (U', \mathcal{N}')$ is defined by $f(Y_1) = Y_1, f(Y_2) = Y_2$ and $f(Y_3) = Y_3$. Thus f is $n \otimes_s$ -continuous but not ngs-continuous.

4. APPLICATIONS

Definition 4.1. A nano topological space (U, \mathcal{N}) is said to be

- (1) n (S)- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ if every n $(S)_s$ -closed set is *ns*-closed.
- (2) n (3)- T_s^2 if every n (3)_s-closed set is *n*-closed.

Proposition 4.1. Let (U, \mathcal{N}) be a nano topological space.

- (1) For each $x \in U$, $\{x\}$ is ns-closed or its complement $U \{x\}$ is nS-closed.
- (2) For each $x \in U$, $\{x\}$ is n-open and ns-closed or its complement $U \{x\}$ is $n(S)_s$ -closed.
- *Proof.* (1) Suppose that $\{x\}$ is not *ns*-closed. Then $U \{x\}$ is not *ns*-open and the only *ns*-open set containing $U \{x\}$ is U. Therefore, $sC_n(U \{x\}) \subseteq I_n(U) = U$. So, $U \{x\}$ is *n*(S)-closed.
 - (2) Suppose that $\{x\}$ is not *ns*-closed. Then by (1), $U \{x\}$ is *n*(S)-closed and then $n(S)_s$ -closed. Suppose that $\{x\}$ is not *n*-open and let *G* be a *ns*-open set such that $U \{x\} \subseteq G$. If G = U, then $sC_n(U \{x\}) \subseteq I_n(C_n(G)) = G$. If $G = U \{x\}$, then we have that $I_n(C_n(G)) = I_n(C_n(U \{x\})) = I_n(U) = U$. Hence, $sC_n(U \{x\}) \subseteq I_n(C_n(G))$. Therefore, $U \{x\}$ is *n*(S)-closed.

Theorem 4.2. For a nano topological space, the next conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Every n(S)-closed set is ns-closed.
- (2) For each $x \in U$, $\{x\}$ is ns-open or ns-closed.
- *Proof.* (1) (1) \implies (2). Suppose that for a point $x \in U$, $\{x\}$ is not *ns*-closed. By Proposition 4.1(1), $U \{x\}$ is *n*S-closed. By assumption, $U \{x\}$ is *ns*-closed and hence $\{x\}$ is *ns*-open. Therefore, each singleton is *ns*-open or *ns*-closed.

(2) (2) \implies (1). Let *H* be a *n*S-closed set. We want to prove that $sC_n(H) = H$. Suppose $x \in sC_n(H)$.

Case 1: $\{x\}$ is *ns*-open. Then $\{x\} \cap H \neq \phi$ which implies $x \in H$.

Case 2: $\{x\}$ is *ns*-closed and $x \notin H$. Then $sC_n(H) - H$ contains a *ns*-closed set $\{x\}$ and this contradicts Proposition 2.2. Hence $x \in H$ and H is *ns*-closed. Therefore, Every n(s)-closed set is *ns*emi-closed.

Theorem 4.3. For a nano topological space, the following properties hold:

- (1) If (U, \mathcal{N}) is nS-T_s, then for each $x \in U$ the singleton $\{x\}$ is n-open or ns-closed.
- (2) (U, \mathcal{N}) is n§- $T_{\frac{1}{2}} \iff$ for each $x \in U$, $\{x\}$ is ns-open or ns-closed and *n*-open.
- (3) If (U, \mathcal{N}) is n \mathbb{S} - T_s , then it is n \mathbb{S} - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof.

- (1) Suppose that for some x ∈ U, {x} is not ns-closed. By Proposition 4.1, U {x} is nS-closed. Hence, U {x} is nS-closed. Since (U, N) is nS-T_s, then U {x} is n-closed. Thus {x} is n-open.
- (2) Necessity. Suppose that a singleton {x} is not ns-closed or n-open. By Proposition 4.1, U {x} is n(s)s-closed. Using the assumption we have that {x} is ns-open.

Sufficiency. It follows from the assumption that every subset is *ns*-open and *ns*-closed. Then (U, \mathcal{N}) is nS- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

(3) It is straightforward from the definitions of n $S-T_s$ spaces and n $S-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ spaces.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have discussed the concepts of n(S)-closed and n(S)_s-closed. These concepts can be used to derive a new real world applications in future.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for improvement of this paper

REFERENCES

- K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Mythili Gnanapriya, Nano Generalizesd closed sets, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 4(5)(2014), 1-3.
- [2] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Mythili Gnanapriya, On nano generalizesd continuous functions in nano topololgical space, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 6(6)(2015), 182-186.
- [3] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Ezhilarasi, On nano semi generalized and nano generalized semi-closed sets, IJMCAR. 4(3)(2014), 117-124.
- [4] K. Bhuvaneshwari and K. Ezhilarasi, On nano semi generalized continuous maps in nano topological space, International Research Journal of pure Algebra, 5(9)(2015), 149-155.
- [5] P. Karthik Sankar, Nano totally continuous functions in nano topological space, International Journal of Science Research & Engineering Trends, 5(1)(2019), 234-236.
- [6] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, On Nano forms of weakly open sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention,1(1)(2013), 31-37.
- [7] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, On nano continuity, Math. Theory Model, (7)(2013), 32-37.
- [8] M. Lellis Thivagar, Saeid Jafari and V. Sutha Devi, On new class of contra continuity in nanotopology, Available on researchgate in https://www.reserchgate.net/publication/315892547.

- [9] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, International journal of computer and Information Sciences, 11(5)(1982), 341-356.
- [10] I. Rajasekaran, O. Nethaji and S. Jackson, On nano g_s-closed sets and nano g_s-continuous functions, Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., 11(3)(2021), 539-543.
- [11] I. Rajasekaran, On *b-open sets and *b-sets in nano topological spaces, Asia Mathematika, 5(3)(2021), 84-88.
- [12] I. Rajasekaran and O. Nethaji, On some new subsets of nano topological spaces, Journal of New Theory, 16(2017), 52-58.

I. RAJASEKARAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TIRUNELVELI DAKSHINA MARA NADAR SANGAM COLLEGE, T. KALLIKULAM-627 113, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

Email address: sekarmelakkal@gmail.com

N. SEKAR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARUMUGAM PILLAI SEETHAI AMMAL COLLEGE, TIRUPPATTUR, SIVA-GANGAI DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

Email address: sekar.skrss@gmail.com

A. PANDI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RATHINAM TECHNICAL CAMPUS, COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU, INDIA *Email address*: pandi2085@yahoo.com