



## *p*-SEMISIMPLE NEUTROSOPHIC QUADRUPLE *BCI*-ALGEBRAS AND NEUTROSOPHIC QUADRUPLE *p*-IDEALS

G. MUHIUDDIN\* AND YOUNG BAE JUN

**ABSTRACT.** Characterizations of neutrosophic quadruple *BCI*-algebra are considered. Conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple *BCI*-set to be a *p*-semisimple *BCI*-algebra are provided. A condition for a subalgebra to be an ideal in neutrosophic quadruple *BCI*-algebra is given. Conditions for the set  $NQ(A, B)$  to be a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal and neutrosophic quadruple *p*-ideal are discussed. Characterizations of neutrosophic quadruple *p*-ideal are considered.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

As a more general platform that extends the notions of classic set, (intuitionistic) fuzzy set and interval valued (intuitionistic) fuzzy set, the notion of neutrosophic set is developed by Smarandache ([16], [17] and [18]). Neutrosophic algebraic structures in *BCK/BCI*-algebras are discussed in the papers [3], [7], [8], [9], [10], [12], [14], [15] and [20]. Smarandache [19] considered an entry (i.e., a number, an idea, an object etc.) which is represented by a known part (*a*) and an unknown part (*bT, cI, dF*) where *T, I, F* have their usual neutrosophic logic meanings and *a, b, c, d* are real or complex numbers, and then he introduced the concept of neutrosophic quadruple numbers. Neutrosophic quadruple algebraic structures and hyperstructures are discussed in [1] and [2]. Jun et al. [11] used neutrosophic quadruple numbers based on a set, and constructed neutrosophic quadruple *BCK/BCI*-algebras. They investigated several properties, and considered ideal and positive implicative ideal in neutrosophic quadruple *BCK*-algebra, and closed ideal in neutrosophic quadruple *BCI*-algebra. Given subsets *A* and *B* of a neutrosophic quadruple *BCK/BCI*-algebra, they considered sets  $NQ(A, B)$  which consists of neutrosophic quadruple *BCK/BCI*-numbers with a condition. They provided conditions for the set  $NQ(A, B)$  to be a (positive implicative) ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple *BCK*-algebra, and the set  $NQ(A, B)$  to be a (closed) ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple *BCI*-algebra. They gave an example to show that the set  $\{\bar{0}\}$  is not a positive implicative ideal in a neutrosophic quadruple *BCK*-algebra, and then they considered conditions for the set  $\{\bar{0}\}$  to be a positive implicative ideal in a neutrosophic quadruple *BCK*-algebra.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35, 03G25, 08A72.

*Key words and phrases.* Neutrosophic quadruple *BCK/BCI*-number; neutrosophic quadruple *BCK/BCI*-algebra; neutrosophic quadruple (closed) ideal; neutrosophic quadruple *p*-ideal.

\*Corresponding author.

In this paper, we consider characterizations of neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra, and give conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -set to be a  $p$ -semisimple  $BCI$ -algebra. We provide a condition for a subalgebra to be an ideal in neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra, and provide conditions for the set  $NQ(A, B)$  to be a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal and neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal. We discuss characterizations of neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

A  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra is an important class of logical algebras introduced by K. Iséki (see [5] and [6]) and was extensively investigated by several researchers.

By a  $BCI$ -algebra, we mean a set  $S$  with a special element  $0$  and a binary operation  $*$  that satisfies the following conditions:

- (I)  $(\forall x, y, z \in S) ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$ ,
- (II)  $(\forall x, y \in S) ((x * (x * y)) * y = 0)$ ,
- (III)  $(\forall x \in S) (x * x = 0)$ ,
- (IV)  $(\forall x, y \in S) (x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y)$ .

If a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  satisfies the following identity:

$$(V) (\forall x \in S) (0 * x = 0),$$

then  $S$  is called a  $BCK$ -algebra. Any  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra  $S$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\forall x \in S) (x * 0 = x), \quad (2.1)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S) (x \leq y \Rightarrow x * z \leq y * z, z * y \leq z * x), \quad (2.2)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S) ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y), \quad (2.3)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S) ((x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y) \quad (2.4)$$

where  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ .

Any  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  satisfies the following conditions (see [4]):

$$(\forall x, y \in S) (x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y), \quad (2.5)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in S) (0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)), \quad (2.6)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in S) (0 * (0 * (x * y)) = (0 * y) * (0 * x)). \quad (2.7)$$

A  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  is said to be  $p$ -semisimple (see [4]) if  $0 * (0 * x) = x$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Every  $p$ -semisimple  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  satisfies (see [4]):

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S) ((x * z) * (y * z) = x * y). \quad (2.8)$$

A  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  is  $p$ -semisimple if and only if the following assertion is valid.

$$(\forall x, y \in S) (x * (x * y) = y). \quad (2.9)$$

An element  $a$  in a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  is said to be *minimal* (see [4]) if the following assertion is valid.

$$(\forall x \in S) (x * a = 0 \Rightarrow x = a). \quad (2.10)$$

A nonempty subset  $S$  of a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra  $S$  is called a *subalgebra* of  $S$  if  $x * y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . A subset  $I$  of a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra  $S$  is called an *ideal* of  $S$  if it satisfies:

$$0 \in I, \quad (2.11)$$

$$(\forall x \in S) (\forall y \in I) (x * y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I). \quad (2.12)$$

A subset  $I$  of a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  is called a *closed ideal* (see [4]) of  $S$  if it is an ideal of  $S$  which satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in S)(x \in I \Rightarrow 0 * x \in I). \quad (2.13)$$

A subset  $I$  of a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  is called a *p-ideal* (see [21]) of  $S$  if it satisfies (2.11) and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)(y \in I, (x * z) * (y * z) \in I \Rightarrow x \in I). \quad (2.14)$$

We refer the reader to the books [4, 13] for further information regarding  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras, and to the site “<http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/neutrosophy.htm>” for further information regarding neutrosophic set theory.

We consider neutrosophic quadruple numbers based on a set instead of real or complex numbers.

**Definition 2.1** ([11]). Let  $S$  be a set. A *neutrosophic quadruple S-number* is an ordered quadruple  $(a, xT, yI, zF)$  where  $a, x, y, z \in S$  and  $T, I, F$  have their usual neutrosophic logic meanings.

The set of all neutrosophic quadruple  $S$ -numbers is denoted by  $NQ(S)$ , that is,

$$NQ(S) := \{(a, xT, yI, zF) \mid a, x, y, z \in S\},$$

and it is called the *neutrosophic quadruple set* based on  $S$ . If  $S$  is a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra, a neutrosophic quadruple  $S$ -number is called a *neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-number* and we say that  $NQ(S)$  is the *neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-set*.

Let  $S$  be a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra. We define a binary operation  $\odot$  on  $NQ(S)$  by

$$(a, xT, yI, zF) \odot (b, uT, vI, wF) = (a * b, (x * u)T, (y * v)I, (z * w)F)$$

for all  $(a, xT, yI, zF), (b, uT, vI, wF) \in NQ(S)$ . Given  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in S$ , the neutrosophic quadruple  $BCK/BCI$ -number  $(a_1, a_2T, a_3I, a_4F)$  is denoted by  $\tilde{a}$ , that is,

$$\tilde{a} = (a_1, a_2T, a_3I, a_4F),$$

and the zero neutrosophic quadruple  $BCK/BCI$ -number  $(0, 0T, 0I, 0F)$  is denoted by  $\tilde{0}$ , that is,

$$\tilde{0} = (0, 0T, 0I, 0F).$$

We define an order relation “ $\ll$ ” and the equality “ $=$ ” on  $NQ(S)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} \ll \tilde{y} &\Leftrightarrow x_i \leq y_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ \tilde{x} = \tilde{y} &\Leftrightarrow x_i = y_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in NQ(S)$ . It is easy to verify that “ $\ll$ ” is an equivalence relation on  $NQ(S)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([11]). *If  $S$  is a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra, then  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra.*

We say that  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a *neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebra*, and it is simply denoted by  $NQ(S)$ .

Let  $S$  be a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra. Given  $a, b \in S$  and nonempty subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ , consider the sets

$$NQ(a, B) := \{(a, aT, yI, zF) \in NQ(S) \mid y, z \in B\},$$

$$NQ(A, b) := \{(a, xT, bI, bF) \in NQ(S) \mid a, x \in A\},$$

$$NQ(A, B) := \{(a, xT, yI, zF) \in NQ(S) \mid a, x \in A; y, z \in B\},$$

$$NQ(A^*, B) := \bigcup_{a \in A} NQ(a, B),$$

$$NQ(A, B^*) := \bigcup_{b \in B} NQ(A, b),$$

and

$$NQ(A \cup B) := NQ(A, 0) \cup NQ(0, B).$$

The set  $NQ(A, A)$  is denoted by  $NQ(A)$ .

### 3. $p$ -SEMISIMPLE NEUTROSOPHIC QUADRUPLE $BCI$ -ALGEBRAS AND IDEALS

**Definition 3.1.** Given nonempty subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ , if  $NQ(A, B)$  is a (closed) ideal (resp.,  $p$ -ideal) of a neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra  $NQ(S)$ , we say  $NQ(A, B)$  is a *neutrosophic quadruple (closed) ideal* (resp., *neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal*) of  $NQ(S)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $NQ(S)$  be the neutrosophic quadruple set based on a set  $S$ . Then  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra if and only if the following assertions are valid.

$$(\forall \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in NQ(S)) (((\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y}) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z})) \odot (\tilde{z} \odot \tilde{y}) = \tilde{0}), \quad (3.1)$$

$$(\forall \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in NQ(S)) (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y} = \tilde{0}, \tilde{y} \odot \tilde{x} = \tilde{0} \Rightarrow \tilde{x} = \tilde{y}), \quad (3.2)$$

$$(\forall \tilde{x} \in NQ(S)) (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0} = \tilde{x}). \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra. Then two conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are clearly true. Note that

$$\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{x} = \tilde{0}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$(\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y})) \odot \tilde{y} = \tilde{0} \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in NQ(S)$ . Hence

$$(\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0})) \odot \tilde{0} = \tilde{0} \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $\tilde{x} \in NQ(S)$ , and it follows from (3.1), (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{0} &= ((\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0})) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{x})) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0})) \\ &= ((\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0})) \odot \tilde{0}) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0})) \\ &= \tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0})). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2), we have  $\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0}) = \tilde{0}$  for all  $\tilde{x} \in NQ(S)$ . Also we have  $(\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0}) \odot \tilde{x} = (\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{x})) \odot \tilde{x} = \tilde{0}$  by (3.4) and (3.5). Therefore (3.3) is valid by using (3.2).

Conversely, suppose that the neutrosophic quadruple set  $NQ(S)$  based on a set  $S$  satisfies three conditions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3). It is sufficient to show that two conditions (3.4) and (3.5) are true. Let  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in NQ(S)$ . Using (3.3) and (3.1), we have

$$\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{x} = (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{x}) \odot \tilde{0} = ((\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0}) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0})) \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{0}) = \tilde{0}$$

and

$$(\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y})) \odot \tilde{y} = ((\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0}) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y})) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{0}) = \tilde{0}.$$

Therefore  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra.  $\square$

We consider conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -set  $NQ(S)$  to be a  $p$ -semisimple neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $S$  is a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra, then  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a  $p$ -semisimple neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebra. Then  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra (see Theorem 2.1). For any  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \in NQ(S)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) &= (0 * (0 * x_1), (0 * (0 * x_2))T, (0 * (0 * x_3))I, (0 * (0 * x_4))F) \\ &= (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) = \tilde{x}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a  $p$ -semisimple neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *If the neutrosophic quadruple set  $NQ(S)$  based on a BCI-algebra  $S$  satisfies the following assertion*

$$(\forall \tilde{x} \in NQ(S))(\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x} = \tilde{0} \Rightarrow \tilde{x} = \tilde{0}), \quad (3.7)$$

*then  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a  $p$ -semisimple neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1,  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra. Thus

$$\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y}) = (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{y}) \quad (3.8)$$

$$\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x})) = \tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x} \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in NQ(S)$ . It follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}))) &= (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) \odot (\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}))) \\ &= (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) = \tilde{0}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x})) = \tilde{0}$  for all  $\tilde{x} \in NQ(S)$  by (3.7). Since  $(\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x})) \odot \tilde{x} = \tilde{0}$  for all  $\tilde{x} \in NQ(S)$ , it follows from (3.2) that  $\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}$  for all  $\tilde{x} \in NQ(S)$ . Therefore  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a  $p$ -semisimple neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *If the neutrosophic quadruple set  $NQ(S)$  based on a BCI-algebra  $S$  satisfies the following assertion*

$$(\forall \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in NQ(S))(\tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{y}) = \tilde{y} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x})), \quad (3.10)$$

*then  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a  $p$ -semisimple neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1,  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra. Let  $\tilde{x} \in NQ(S)$  be such that  $\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x} = \tilde{0}$ . Then

$$\tilde{x} = \tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0} = \tilde{x} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{0}) = \tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) = \tilde{0} \odot \tilde{0} = \tilde{0}$$

by (3.3), (3.4) and (3.10). It follows from Theorem 3.3 that  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a  $p$ -semisimple neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra.  $\square$

In a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra, any subalgebra may not be an ideal as seen in the following example.

**Example 3.2.** Consider a BCI-algebra  $S = \{0, 1, a\}$  with the binary operation  $*$ , which is given in Table 1.

Then the neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra  $NQ(S)$  has 81 elements. If we take

$$B := \{\tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \tilde{2}, \tilde{3}, \tilde{4}, \tilde{5}, \tilde{6}, \tilde{7}, \tilde{8}, \tilde{9}, \tilde{10}, \tilde{11}, \tilde{12}, \tilde{13}, \tilde{14}, \tilde{15}\}$$

where

$$\tilde{0} = (0, 0T, 0I, 0F), \tilde{1} = (0, 0T, 0I, aF), \tilde{2} = (0, 0T, aI, 0F),$$

TABLE 1. Cayley table for the binary operation “ $*$ ”

$*$	0	1	$a$
0	0	0	$a$
1	1	0	$a$
$a$	$a$	$a$	0

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{3} &= (0, 0T, aI, aF), \tilde{4} = (0, aT, 0I, 0F), \tilde{5} = (0, aT, 0I, aF), \\ \tilde{6} &= (0, aT, aI, 0F), \tilde{7} = (0, aT, aI, aF), \tilde{8} = (a, 0T, 0I, 0F), \\ \tilde{9} &= (a, 0T, 0I, aF), \tilde{10} = (a, 0T, aI, 0F), \tilde{11} = (a, 0T, aI, aF), \\ \tilde{12} &= (a, aT, 0I, 0F), \tilde{13} = (a, aT, 0I, aF), \\ \tilde{14} &= (a, aT, aI, 0F), \tilde{15} = (a, aT, aI, aF). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $B$  is a subalgebra of  $NQ(S)$ . But it is not an ideal of  $NQ(S)$ . In fact, if we take  $\tilde{x} = (1, 1T, 0I, aF) \in NQ(S)$  then

$$\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{15} = (1, 1T, 0I, aF) \odot (a, aT, aI, aF) = (a, aT, aI, 0F) = \tilde{14} \in B$$

But  $\tilde{x} = (1, 1T, 0I, aF) \notin B$ .

We provide a condition for a subalgebra to be an ideal in neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra.

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $NQ(S)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra based on a  $p$ -semisimple  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$ , then every subalgebra of  $NQ(S)$  is an ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $S$  is a  $p$ -semisimple  $BCI$ -algebra, then  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is a  $p$ -semisimple neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra by Theorem 3.2. Let  $NQ(S)$  be a subalgebra of  $NQ(S)$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{0} \in NQ(S)$ . Let  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in NQ(S)$  be such that  $\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y} \in NQ(S)$  and  $\tilde{y} \in NQ(S)$ . Then  $\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{y} \in NQ(S)$  and  $(\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y}) \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{y}) \in NQ(S)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} ((\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y}) \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{y})) \odot \tilde{x} &= ((\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y}) \odot \tilde{x}) \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{y}) \\ &= ((\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y}) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{0})) \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{y}) \\ &= \tilde{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(NQ(S); \odot, \tilde{0})$  is  $p$ -semisimple, we have  $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y}) \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{y}) \in NQ(S)$  by (3.2). Therefore  $NQ(S)$  is an ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6** ([11]). *If  $A$  and  $B$  are (closed) ideals of a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$ , then the set  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple (closed) ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .*

Recall that there exist ideals  $A$  and  $B$  in a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  such that  $NQ(A, B)$  is not a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of  $NQ(S)$  (see [11, Example 3]).

We provide conditions for the set  $NQ(A, B)$  to be a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be ideals of a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$ . Then the set  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of  $NQ(S)$  if and only if the following assertion is valid.*

$$(\forall a \in A, \forall b \in B)(0 * a \in A, 0 * b \in B). \tag{3.11}$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of  $NQ(S)$  for any ideals  $A$  and  $B$  of a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$ . Let  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  be such that

$(a_1, a_2T, b_1I, b_2F) \in NQ(A, B)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (0 * a_1, (0 * a_2)T, (0 * b_1)I, (0 * b_2)F) \\ &= (0, 0T, 0I, 0F) \odot (a_1, a_2T, b_1I, b_2F) \in NQ(A, B), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $0 * a_1, 0 * a_2 \in A$  and  $0 * b_1, 0 * b_2 \in B$ . Therefore (3.11) is valid.

Conversely, let  $A$  and  $B$  be ideals of a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  satisfying the condition (3.11). Then  $A$  and  $B$  are closed ideals of  $S$ . It follows from Lemma 3.6 that  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Given an ideal  $A$  of a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$ , the set  $NQ(A)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of  $NQ(S)$  if and only if  $0 * a \in A$  for all  $a \in A$ .*

**Theorem 3.9.** *For any ideals  $A$  and  $B$  of a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$ , let  $m(A)$  and  $m(B)$  be the set of all minimal elements of  $A$  and  $B$  with  $|m(A)| < \infty$  and  $|m(B)| < \infty$ , respectively. Then the set  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $a \in A, b \in B$  and  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $a_n = 0 * (0 * a)^n$  and  $b_k = 0 * (0 * b)^k$ . Then  $a_n \in m(A)$  and  $b_k \in m(B)$ . Using (2.6) repeatedly, we have  $a_n = 0 * (0 * a)^n = 0 * (0 * a^n)$  and  $b_k = 0 * (0 * b)^k = 0 * (0 * b^k)$ . Hence

$$a_n * a^n = (0 * (0 * a^n)) * a^n = (0 * a^n) * (0 * a^n) = 0 \in A$$

and

$$b_k * b^k = (0 * (0 * b^k)) * b^k = (0 * b^k) * (0 * b^k) = 0 \in B.$$

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals, it follows that  $a_n \in A$  and  $b_k \in B$ . Since  $|m(A)| < \infty$  and  $|m(B)| < \infty$ , there exist  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_{n+p} = a_n$  and  $b_{k+q} = b_k$ , that is,  $a_n * (0 * a)^p = a_n$  and  $b_k * (0 * b)^q = b_k$ . It follows that

$$a_p = 0 * (0 * a)^p = (a_n * (0 * a)^p) * a_n = a_n * a_n = 0$$

and

$$b_q = 0 * (0 * b)^q = (b_k * (0 * b)^q) * b_k = b_k * b_k = 0.$$

Thus  $a_{p-1} * (0 * a) = 0$  and  $b_{q-1} * (0 * b) = 0$ , and so  $0 * a = a_{p-1} \in A$  and  $0 * b = b_{q-1} \in B$ . Hence  $A$  and  $B$  are closed ideals of  $S$ . Therefore  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal of  $NQ(S)$ . by Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

#### 4. NEUTROSOPHIC QUADRUPLE $p$ -IDEALS

In what follows, let  $S$  be a  $BCI$ -algebra unless otherwise.

**Question 1.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals of  $S$ , then is  $NQ(A, B)$  a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ ?*

The following example shows that the answer to Question 1 is negative.

**Example 4.1.** Consider a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S = \{0, 1, a, b\}$  with the binary operation  $*$ , which is given in Table 2.

Then the neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra  $NQ(S)$  has 256 elements. Consider ideals  $A = \{0, 1\}$  and  $B = \{0, a\}$  of  $S$ . Note that  $B = \{0, a\}$  is not a  $p$ -ideal of  $S$ . Then

$$NQ(A, B) = \{\tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \tilde{2}, \tilde{3}, \tilde{4}, \tilde{5}, \tilde{6}, \tilde{7}, \tilde{8}, \tilde{9}, \tilde{10}, \tilde{11}, \tilde{12}, \tilde{13}, \tilde{14}, \tilde{15}\}$$

is a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of  $NQ(S)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{0} &= (0, 0T, 0I, 0F), \tilde{1} = (0, 0T, 0I, aF), \tilde{2} = (0, 0T, aI, 0F), \\ \tilde{3} &= (0, 0T, aI, aF), \tilde{4} = (0, 1T, 0I, 0F), \tilde{5} = (0, 1T, 0I, aF), \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 2. Cayley table for the binary operation “ $*$ ”

$*$	0	1	$a$	$b$
0	0	0	$a$	$a$
1	1	0	$b$	$a$
$a$	$a$	$a$	0	0
$b$	$b$	$a$	1	0

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{6} &= (0, 1T, aI, 0F), \tilde{7} = (0, 1T, aI, aF), \tilde{8} = (1, 0T, 0I, 0F), \\ \tilde{9} &= (1, 0T, 0I, aF), \tilde{10} = (1, 0T, aI, 0F), \tilde{11} = (1, 0T, aI, aF), \\ \tilde{12} &= (1, 1T, 0I, 0F), \tilde{13} = (1, 1T, 0I, aF), \\ \tilde{14} &= (1, 1T, aI, 0F), \tilde{15} = (1, 1T, aI, aF). \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $\tilde{x} = (1, 1T, bI, bF) \in NQ(S)$  and  $\tilde{z} = (b, bT, bI, bF) \in NQ(S)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{7} \odot \tilde{z}) &= (a, aT, 0I, 0F) \odot (a, aT, 0I, 0F) \\ &= (0, 0T, 0I, 0F) = \tilde{0} \in NQ(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

But  $\tilde{x} \notin NQ(A, B)$ , and so  $NQ(A, B)$  is not a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .

We provide a condition for the set  $NQ(A, B)$  to be a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be ideals of  $S$ . If  $S$  is  $p$ -semisimple, then  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals of  $S$ , then  $NQ(A, B)$  is an ideal of  $NQ(S)$  (see Lemma 3.6), and so  $\tilde{0} \in NQ(A, B)$ . Let  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in NQ(S)$  be such that  $(\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z}) \in NQ(A, B)$  and  $\tilde{y} \in NQ(A, B)$ . Since  $S$  is  $p$ -semisimple, it follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1 * y_1, (x_2 * y_2)T, (x_3 * y_3)I, (x_4 * y_4)F) \\ &= ((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1), ((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2))T, \\ &((x_3 * z_3) * (y_3 * z_3))I, ((x_4 * z_4) * (y_4 * z_4))F) \\ &= (x_1 * z_1, (x_2 * z_2)T, (x_3 * z_3)I, (x_4 * z_4)F) \odot \\ &(y_1 * z_1, (y_2 * z_2)T, (y_3 * z_3)I, (y_4 * z_4)F) \\ &= ((x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \odot (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)) \odot \\ &((y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F) \odot (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)) \\ &= (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z}) \in NQ(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x_i * y_i \in A$  and  $x_j * y_j \in B$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 3, 4$ . Since  $y_1, y_2 \in A$  and  $y_3, y_4 \in B$ , we have  $x_i \in A$  and  $x_j \in B$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 3, 4$ . Thus  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \in NQ(A, B)$ . Therefore  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *If  $A$  is an ideal of a  $p$ -semisimple  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$ , then  $NQ(A)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .*

**Corollary 4.3.** *If a  $BCI$ -algebra  $S$  satisfies:*

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)((x * y) * z = x * (y * z)), \tag{4.1}$$

*then  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$  for all ideals  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ .*



*Proof.* Using (2.3) and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} y * (x * (x * y)) &= (y * x) * (x * y) = (y * (x * y)) * x \\ &= ((y * x) * y) * x = (y * x) * (y * x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . It follows from (II) and (IV) that  $x * (x * y) = y$ . Hence  $S$  is  $p$ -semisimple, and therefore  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$  by Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $p$ -ideals of  $S$ , then the set  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $p$ -ideals of  $S$ . Obviously,  $\tilde{0} \in NQ(A, B)$ . Let  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F)$ ,  $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F)$  and  $\tilde{z} = (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)$  be elements of  $NQ(S)$  such that  $(\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z}) \in NQ(A, B)$  and  $\tilde{y} \in NQ(A, B)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z}) &= ((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1), ((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2))T, \\ &\quad ((x_3 * z_3) * (y_3 * z_3))I, ((x_4 * z_4) * (y_4 * z_4))F) \in NQ(A, B), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1) \in A$ ,  $(x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2) \in A$ ,  $(x_3 * z_3) * (y_3 * z_3) \in B$  and  $(x_4 * z_4) * (y_4 * z_4) \in B$ . Since  $\tilde{y} \in NQ(A, B)$ , we have  $y_1, y_2 \in A$  and  $y_3, y_4 \in B$ . It follows from (2.14) that  $x_1, x_2 \in A$  and  $x_3, x_4 \in B$ . Hence  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \in NQ(A, B)$ , and therefore  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *If  $A$  is a  $p$ -ideal of  $S$ , then  $NQ(A)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .*

**Proposition 4.6.** *For any  $p$ -ideals  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ , the set  $NQ(A, B)$  satisfies the following implication.*

$$(\forall \tilde{x} \in NQ(S))(\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) \in NQ(A, B) \Rightarrow \tilde{x} \in NQ(A, B)). \quad (4.2)$$

*Proof.* If  $\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) \in NQ(A, B)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) &= (0, 0T, 0I, 0F) \odot ((0, 0T, 0I, 0F) \odot (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F)) \\ &= (0, 0T, 0I, 0F) \odot ((0 * x_1), (0 * x_2)T, (0 * x_3)I, (0 * x_4)F) \\ &= (0 * (0 * x_1), (0 * (0 * x_2))T, (0 * (0 * x_3))I, (0 * (0 * x_4))F) \\ &\in NQ(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(x_1 * x_1) * (0 * x_1) = 0 * (0 * x_1) \in A$ ,  $(x_2 * x_2) * (0 * x_2) = 0 * (0 * x_2) \in A$ ,  $(x_3 * x_3) * (0 * x_3) = 0 * (0 * x_3) \in B$  and  $(x_4 * x_4) * (0 * x_4) = 0 * (0 * x_4) \in B$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are  $p$ -ideals of  $S$ , it follows from (2.14) that  $x_1, x_2 \in A$  and  $x_3, x_4 \in B$ . Hence  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \in NQ(A, B)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.7.** *For any  $p$ -ideal  $A$  of  $S$ , the set  $NQ(A)$  satisfies the following implication.*

$$(\forall \tilde{x} \in NQ(S))(\tilde{0} \odot (\tilde{0} \odot \tilde{x}) \in NQ(A) \Rightarrow \tilde{x} \in NQ(A)). \quad (4.3)$$

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be ideals of  $S$ . Then  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$  if and only if the following assertion is valid.*

$$(\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z}) \in NQ(A, B) \Rightarrow \tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y} \in NQ(A, B) \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in NQ(S)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ . Let  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in NQ(S)$  be such that  $(\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z}) \in NQ(A, B)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y}) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y})) \odot (((\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z})) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y})) \\ &= \tilde{0} \odot (((\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y})) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z})) \\ &= \tilde{0} \odot \tilde{0} = \tilde{0} \in NQ(A, B), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y} \in NQ(A, B)$  since  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .

Conversely, let  $A$  and  $B$  be ideals of  $S$  such that the set  $NQ(A, B)$  satisfies the condition (4.4). Then  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of  $NQ(S)$  by Lemma 3.6, and so  $\tilde{0} \in NQ(A, B)$ . Let  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in NQ(S)$  be such that  $(\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z}) \in NQ(A, B)$  and  $\tilde{y} \in NQ(A, B)$ . Then  $\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y} \in NQ(A, B)$  by (4.4), and thus  $\tilde{x} \in NQ(A, B)$ . Therefore  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** *Given an ideal  $A$  of  $S$ , the set  $NQ(A)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$  if and only if the following assertion is valid.*

$$(\tilde{x} \odot \tilde{z}) \odot (\tilde{y} \odot \tilde{z}) \in NQ(A) \Rightarrow \tilde{x} \odot \tilde{y} \in NQ(A) \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in NQ(S)$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be ideals of  $S$  such that*

$$(\forall x \in S)(0 * (0 * x) \in A \text{ (resp., } B) \Rightarrow x \in A \text{ (resp., } B)). \quad (4.6)$$

*Then  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in S$  be such that  $(x * z) * (y * z) \in A$  (resp.,  $B$ ) and  $y \in A$  (resp.,  $B$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (0 * (0 * ((x * z) * (y * z)))) * ((x * z) * (y * z)) \\ &= (0 * ((x * z) * (y * z))) * (0 * ((x * z) * (y * z))) \\ &= 0 \in A \text{ (resp., } B). \end{aligned}$$

and so  $0 * (0 * ((x * z) * (y * z))) \in A$  (resp.,  $B$ ) since  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals of  $S$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 * (0 * (x * y)) &= (0 * y) * (0 * x) = (((0 * z) * (0 * z)) * y) * (0 * x) \\ &= (((0 * (0 * z)) * z) * y) * (0 * x) = (((0 * y) * (0 * z)) * z) * (0 * x) \\ &= ((0 * (y * z)) * z) * (0 * x) = ((0 * z) * (0 * x)) * (y * z) \\ &= ((0 * (0 * (0 * z))) * (0 * x)) * (y * z) \\ &= ((0 * (0 * x)) * (0 * (0 * z))) * (y * z) \\ &= (0 * ((0 * x) * (0 * z))) * (y * z) = (0 * (0 * (x * z))) * (y * z) \\ &= (0 * (y * z)) * (0 * (x * z)) = (0 * (0 * (0 * (y * z)))) * (0 * (x * z)) \\ &= (0 * (0 * (x * z))) * (0 * (0 * (y * z))) = 0 * ((0 * (x * z)) * (0 * (y * z))) \\ &= 0 * (0 * ((x * z) * (y * z))) \in A \text{ (resp., } B). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.6) that  $x * y \in A$  (resp.,  $B$ ). Hence  $x \in A$  (resp.,  $B$ ). This shows that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $p$ -ideals of  $S$ . Therefore  $NQ(A, B)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$  by Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $A$  be an ideal of  $S$  such that*

$$(\forall x \in S)(0 * (0 * x) \in A \Rightarrow x \in A). \quad (4.7)$$

Then  $NQ(A)$  is a neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal of  $NQ(S)$ .

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we consider characterizations of neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra, and give conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -set to be a  $p$ -semisimple  $BCI$ -algebra. Furthermore, we provide a condition for a subalgebra to be an ideal in neutrosophic quadruple  $BCI$ -algebra, and provide conditions for the set  $NQ(A, B)$  to be a neutrosophic quadruple closed ideal and neutrosophic quadruple  $p$ -ideal. We hope that this work will provide a deep impact on the upcoming research in this field and other related areas to open up new horizons of interest and innovations. Indeed, this work may serve as a foundation for further study of neutrosophic subalgebras in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras. To extend these results, one can further study the neutrosophic set theory of different algebras such as MTL-algebras, BL-algebras, MV-algebras, EQ-algebras, R0-algebras and Q-algebras etc. One may also apply this concept to study some applications in many fields like decision making, knowledge base systems, medical diagnosis, data analysis and graph theory etc.

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very thankful to the reviewers for careful detailed reading and helpful comments/suggestions that improved the overall presentation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.A.A. Agboola, B. Davvaz and F. Smarandache. Neutrosophic quadruple algebraic hyperstructures, *Ann Fuzzy math. Inform.*, **14** (1) (2017), 29–42.
- [2] S.A. Akinleye, F. Smarandache and A.A.A. Agboola. On neutrosophic quadruple algebraic structures, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **12** (2016), 122–126.
- [3] A. Borumand Saeid and Y.B. Jun. Neutrosophic subalgebras of  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras based on neutrosophic points, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **14** (1) (2017), 87–97.
- [4] Y. Huang.  $BCI$ -algebra, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [5] K. Iséki. On  $BCI$ -algebras, *Math. Seminar Notes* **8** (1980), 125–130.
- [6] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka. An introduction to the theory of  $BCK$ -algebras, *Math. Japon.* **23** (1978), 1–26.
- [7] Y.B. Jun. Neutrosophic subalgebras of several types in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **14** (1) (2017), 75–86.
- [8] Y.B. Jun, S.J. Kim and F. Smarandache. Interval neutrosophic sets with applications in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra, *Axioms* 2018, **7**, 23.
- [9] Y.B. Jun, F. Smarandache and H. Bordbar. Neutrosophic  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures applied to  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras, *Information* 2017, **8**, 128.
- [10] Y.B. Jun, F. Smarandache, S.Z. Song and M. Khan. Neutrosophic positive implicative  $\mathcal{N}$ -ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras, *Axioms* 2018, **7**, 3.
- [11] Y.B. Jun, S.Z. Song, F. Smarandache and H. Bordbar. Neutrosophic quadruple  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras, *Axioms* 2018, **7**, 41; doi:10.3390/axioms7020041.
- [12] M. Khan, S. Anis, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun. Neutrosophic  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures and their applications in semigroups, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **14** (6) (2017), 583–598.
- [13] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun.  $BCK$ -algebras, Kyungmoonsa Co. Seoul, Korea 1994.
- [14] G. Muhiuddin, H. Bordbar, F. Smarandache, Y. B. Jun, Further results on  $(\in, \in)$ -neutrosophic subalgebras and ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol. **20** (2018), 36-43.
- [15] M.A. Öztürk and Y.B. Jun. Neutrosophic ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras based on neutrosophic points, *J. Inter. Math. Virtual Inst.* **8** (2018), 1–17.
- [16] F. Smarandache. *Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic*, ProQuest Information & Learning, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 105 p., 1998 <http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/eBook-neutrosophics6.pdf> (last edition online).

- [17] F. Smarandache. A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability, American Reserch Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
- [18] F. Smarandache. Neutrosophic set-a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **24** (3) (2005), 287–297.
- [19] F. Smarandache. Neutrosophic quadruple numbers, refined neutrosophic quadruple numbers, absorbance law, and the multiplication of neutrosophic quadruple numbers, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **10** (2015), 96–98.
- [20] S.Z. Song, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun. Neutrosophic commutative  $\mathcal{N}$ -ideals in  $BCK$ -algebras, *Information* 2017, 8, 130.
- [21] X. Zhang, J. Hao and S.A. Bhatti. On  $p$ -ideals of a  $BCI$ -algebra, *Punjab Univ. J. Math. (Lahore)* **27** (1994), 121–128.

G. MUHIUDDIN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TABUK, TABUK 71491, SAUDI ARABIA

*Email address:* chishtygm@gmail.com

YOUNG BAE JUN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, JINJU 660-701, KOREA

*Email address:* skywine@gmail.com