



ON e^* -REGULARITY AND e^* -NORMALITY IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

S. SIVASANGARI AND G. SARAVANAKUMAR*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -open and e^* -closed sets to introduce some new types of intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -separation axioms, intuitionistic fuzzy e^* - T_i space (for $i = 3, 4$) and intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular and e^* -normal spaces are introduced, some theorems about them are investigated. Stronger forms of intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular and intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -normal spaces are introduced. Moreover, the relationships between these separation axioms and others are investigated.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ever since the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [32], the fuzzy concept has invaded almost all branches of mathematics. The concept of fuzzy topological spaces was introduced and developed by Chang [6]. Atanassov [1] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Coker [7] introduced the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. Several authors [2, 15, 20, 26] introduced the concepts of fuzzy separation axioms using the notion of fuzzy open set and Othman and Latha [20] by using the notions of fuzzy regular open sets, fuzzy β -open sets, fuzzy α -open sets and fuzzy semi α -open sets respectively. Singal and Prakash [25] have introduced the concept of fuzzy pre-separation axioms. Qahtani and Al-Qubati [21] have introduced and studied new kinds of fuzzy pre-separation axioms. Several notions based on fuzzy pre-separation axioms have been studied. Some authors studied the concept of separation axioms in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. In 2001, Bayhan and Coker [3] gave some characterizations of T_1 and T_2 separation axioms in intuitionistic topological spaces, they gave interrelations between several types of separation axioms and some counter examples. In 2003, Lupianez [17] defined new notions of Hausdorffness in the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. In 2005, Bayhan and Coker [5] studied pairwise separation axioms in double intuitionistic topological spaces. For more studies, we can find them in [4, 9, 18, 24]. The initiations of e^* -open sets, e^* -continuity and e^* -compactness in topological spaces are due to Ekici [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Sobana et.al

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A40, 03F55.

Key words and phrases. Intuitionistic fuzzy e^* separation axioms, intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular space, intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -normal space .

Received: May 14, 2021. Accepted: July 31, 2021. Published: September 30, 2021.

*Corresponding author.

[29] were introduced the concept of fuzzy e^* -open sets, fuzzy e^* -continuity and fuzzy e^* -compactness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces (briefly., IFTS's). The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and study some new types of intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -separation axioms, which is intuitionistic fuzzy e^* - T_i space (for, $i = 3, 4$) and intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular and intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -normal spaces by using the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -open (resp. closed) sets. Also, we will introduce stronger forms of intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular and intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -normal spaces with relationships between these separation axioms and others

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [1] Let X be a nonempty fixed set and I be the closed interval in $[0, 1]$. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A is an object of the following form $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle; x \in X \}$ where the mappings $\mu_A(x) : X \rightarrow I$ and $\nu_A(x) : X \rightarrow I$ denote the degree of membership (namely) $\mu_A(x)$ and the degree of non membership (namely) $\nu_A(x)$ for each element $x \in X$ to the set A respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$ for each $x \in X$.

Definition 2.2. [1] Let A and B are intuitionistic fuzzy sets of the form $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle; x \in X \}$ and $B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle; x \in X \}$. Then

- (i) $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$;
- (ii) \bar{A} (or A^c) = $\{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle; x \in X \}$;
- (iii) $A \cap B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_B(x) \rangle; x \in X \}$;
- (iv) $A \cup B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \wedge \nu_B(x) \rangle; x \in X \}$;
- (v) $[]A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x) \rangle; x \in X \}$;
- (vi) $\langle \rangle A = \{ \langle x, 1 - \nu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle; x \in X \}$;

We will use the notation $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle; x \in X \}$ instead of $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle; x \in X \}$.

Definition 2.3. [7] $\mathbb{0} = \{ \langle x, 0, 1 \rangle; x \in X \}$ and $\mathbb{1} = \{ \langle x, 1, 0 \rangle; x \in X \}$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$. An intuitionistic fuzzy point $(IFP)_{p(\alpha, \beta)}$ is

intuitionistic fuzzy set defined by $p_{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \begin{cases} (\alpha, \beta) & \text{if } x=p \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Definition 2.4. [7] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT) in Coker's sense on a nonempty set X is a family T of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $\mathbb{0}, \mathbb{1} \in T$;
- (ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in T$, for any $G_1, G_2 \in T$;
- (iii) $\cup G_i \in T$ for any arbitrary family $\{G_i; i \in J\} \subseteq T$.

In this paper by (X, T) or simply by X we will denote the intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS). Each IFS which belongs to T is called an intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS) in X . The complement \bar{A} of an IFOS A in X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS) in X .

Definition 2.5. [16] Let $p_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an IFP in IFTS X . An IFS A in X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy neighborhood (IFN) of $p_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ if there exists an IFOS B in X such that $p_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in B \subseteq A$.

Let X and Y are two non-empty sets and $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function [7]. If $B = \{ \langle y, \mu_B(y), \nu_B(y) \rangle; y \in Y \}$ is an IFS in Y , then the pre-image of B under f is denoted and defined by $f^{-1}(B) = \{ \langle x, f^{-1}(\mu_B(x)), f^{-1}(\nu_B(x)) \rangle; x \in X \}$. Since

$\mu_B(x)$, $\nu_B(x)$ are fuzzy sets, we explain that $f^{-1}(\mu_B(x)) = \mu_B(x)(f(x))$, $f^{-1}(\nu_B(x)) = \nu_B(x)(f(x))$.

Definition 2.6. [7] Let (X, T) be an IFTS and $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle; x \in X \}$ be an IFS in X . Then the intuitionistic fuzzy closure and intuitionistic fuzzy interior of A are defined by

- (i) $cl(A) = \bigcap \{ C : C \text{ is an IFCS in } X \text{ and } C \supseteq A \}$;
- (ii) $int(A) = \bigcup \{ D : D \text{ is an IFOS in } X \text{ and } D \subseteq A \}$;

It can be also shown that $cl(A)$ is an IFCS, $int(A)$ is an IFOS in X and A is an IFCS in X if and only if $cl(A) = A$; A is an IFOS in X if and only if $int(A) = A$

Proposition 2.1. [7] Let (X, T) be an IFTS and A, B be intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X . Then the following properties hold:

- (i) $cl(\bar{A}) = \overline{int(A)}$, $int(\bar{A}) = \overline{cl(A)}$;
- (ii) $int(A) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(A)$.

Definition 2.7. Let A be IFS in an IFTS (X, T) . A is called an intuitionistic fuzzy regular open set [30] (briefly *IFROS*) if $A = intcl(A)$ and intuitionistic fuzzy regular closed set (briefly *IFRCS*) if $A = clint(A)$

Definition 2.8. [30] Let (X, T) be an IFTS and $A = \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle$ be a IFS in X . Then the fuzzy δ closure of A are denoted and defined by $cl_\delta(A) = \bigcap \{ K : K \text{ is an IFRCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \}$ and $int_\delta(A) = \bigcup \{ G : G \text{ is an IFROS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \}$.

Definition 2.9. [29] Let A be an IFS in an IFTS (X, T) . A is called an intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -open set (*IFe*OS*, for short) in X if $A \subseteq clintcl_\delta(A)$

Definition 2.10. [27] Let (X, T) be an IFTS and $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ be an IFS in X . Then the intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -interior and intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -closure are defined and denoted by:

$$cl_{e^*}(A) = \bigcap \{ K : K \text{ is an IFe*CS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \}$$

and

$$int_{e^*}(A) = \bigcup \{ G : G \text{ is an IFe*OS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \}.$$

It is clear that A is an IFe*CS (*IFe*OS*) in X iff $A = cl_{e^*}(A)$ ($A = int_{e^*}(A)$).

Definition 2.11. [7] Let (X, T) and (Y, S) be IFTS's. A function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ is called intuitionistic fuzzy continuous (resp., e^* -continuous [29]) if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IFOS (resp., IFe*OS) in X for every $B \in S$.

Definition 2.12. [28] A IFTS (X, T) is said to be a intuitionistic fuzzy stronger- e^* - T_1 (briefly, *IFe*-T₁s*) if every IFP is an IFe*CS.

3. e^* -REGULARITY IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 3.1. An IFTS (X, T) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -Uryshon (briefly, *IFe*-T₂^{1/2}*) if for every pair of IFP's $p = x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, $q = y_{(\gamma, \eta)}$ with different supports, there exists an *IFe*OS*'s M and N such that $(p \subseteq M, q \not\subseteq M)$ and $(q \subseteq N, p \not\subseteq N)$ and $IFe*cl(M) \not\subseteq IFe*cl(N)$.

Definition 3.2. An IFTS (X, T) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular space (briefly, *IFe*Rs*) if for every pair of IFP p and *IFe*CS* N such that $p \not\subseteq N$ there exists an *IFe*OS*'s M_1 and M_2 such that $(p \subseteq M_1, N \subseteq M_2 \text{ and } M_1 \not\subseteq M_2)$.

Theorem 3.1. *If (X, T) be an IFe^*Rs , then for any IFP $p = x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and an IFOS N such that $p \subseteq N$, there exists an IFe^*OS M_1 such that $p \subseteq M_1 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_1) \subseteq N$.*

Proof. Suppose that X be an IFe^*Rs . Let $N = \langle x, \mu_N(x), \gamma_N(x) \rangle$ be an IFOS of X and p be an IFP in X such that $p \subseteq N$. Then $N^c = \langle x, \gamma_N(x), \mu_N(x) \rangle$ is an IFe^*CS in X . Since X is an IFe^*Rs , therefore there exist two IFe^*OS 's M_1 and M_2 such that $p \in M_1, N^c \subseteq M_2$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$. Now M_2^c is an IFe^*CS such that $M_1 \subseteq M_2^c \subseteq N$, thus, $p \in M_1 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_1)$ and $IFe^*cl(M_1) \subseteq M_2^c \subseteq N$, so $IFe^*cl(M_1) \subseteq N$. Hence $p \subseteq M_1 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_1) \subseteq N$. \square

Definition 3.3. A IFTS (X, T) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy e^*T_3 space (briefly, IFe^*T_3) if it is an IFe^*Rs as well as $IFe^* - T_1$ s space.

Proposition 3.2. *Every subspace of IFe^*Rs is IFe^*Rs .*

Proof. Let (X, T) be a IFe^*Rs and Y be subspace of X . To prove that Y is an IFe^* -regular, where $T_Y = \{G_Y = \langle x, \mu_{G|Y}(x), \nu_{G|Y}(x) \rangle, x \in Y, G \in T\}$ and $G = \langle x, \mu_G(x), \nu_G(x) \rangle$. Let $p = x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ be an IFP in Y , and N_Y be an IFe^*CS in Y such that $p \not\subseteq N_Y$. Since Y is a subspace of X , so $p \in X$ and there exist an IFe^*CS F in X such that the closed set generated by it for Y is F_Y . Since X is IFe^*Rs such that $p \not\subseteq F$, there exist two IFe^*OS 's M_1, M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_1 = \langle x, \mu_{M_1}(x), \nu_{M_1}(x) \rangle$ and $N \subseteq M_1 = \langle x, \mu_{M_2}(x), \nu_{M_2}(x) \rangle$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2, M_{1Y} = \langle x, \mu_{M_1|Y}(x), \nu_{M_1|Y}(x) \rangle$ and $M_{2Y} = \langle x, \mu_{M_2|Y}(x), \nu_{M_2|Y}(x) \rangle$ are IFe^*OS in Y such that that $p \subseteq M_{1Y}, N \subseteq M_{2Y}$ and $M_{1Y} \not\subseteq M_{2Y}$. Hence Y is an IFe^*Rs . \square

Theorem 3.3. *An IFTS (X, T) is said to be an IFe^*Rs if and only if for an IFP $p = x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and an IFCS N such that $p \not\subseteq N$, there exist two IFe^*OS 's M_1, M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_1, N \subseteq M_2$, and $IFe^*cl(M_1) \not\subseteq (IFe^*cl(M_2))$.*

Proof. Since $p \not\subseteq N$ and (X, T) is IFe^*Rs , there exist two IFe^*OS 's M_1, M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_1, N \subseteq M_2$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$ and by Theorem 3.1 $p \subseteq M_2 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2) \subseteq M_1$. Hence $IFe^*cl(M_2) \subseteq M_1$. Also, $N \subseteq M_2 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2)$. But since $IFe^*cl(M_1) \not\subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2)$, then $N \subseteq M_2 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2) \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_1) \subseteq M_1^c$ and hence $p \subseteq M_1, N \subseteq M_2$ and $IFe^*cl(M_1) \not\subseteq (IFe^*cl(M_2))$. \square

The converse is clear. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X, T) be an IFe^*Rs which is also IFT_0 . Then (X, T) is $IFe^*-T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof. Let $p = x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and $q = x_{(\gamma, \eta)}$ are IFP's with different supports. Since (X, T) is an IFT_0 space, then there exists an IFOS N such that $p \subseteq N, q \not\subseteq N$ or $q \subseteq N, p \not\subseteq N$. Consider the part $p \subseteq N, q \not\subseteq N$. This implies that $p \not\subseteq N^c$ where N^c is an IFCS. Since (X, T) is an IFe^*Rs , so by Theorem 3.3, there exist an IFe^*OS 's M_1 and M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_1$ and $N^c \subseteq M_2$ and $IFe^*cl(M_1) \not\subseteq (IFe^*cl(M_2))$ or $p \subseteq M_1, q \subseteq M_2$ and $IFe^*cl(M_1) \not\subseteq (IFe^*cl(M_2))$. Hence (X, T) is $IFe^*T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *If $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ is a closed injective intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -continuous mapping and (Y, S) is an IFRS, then (X, T) is an IFe^*Rs .*

Proof. Let p be an IFP and N be an IFCS in X . Then $f(p)$ is an IFP and $f(N)$ is an IFCS in Y . Since (Y, S) is an intuitionistic fuzzy regular space then there exist two IFOS's M_1 and M_2 such that $f(N) \subseteq M_2, f(p) \subseteq M_1$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$. It follows that $N \subseteq f^{-1}(M_2), p \subseteq f^{-1}(M_1)$ and $f^{-1}(M_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(M_2)$ where $f^{-1}(M_1)$ and $f^{-1}(M_2)$ are IFe^*OS 's in X . Hence (X, T) is an IFe^*Rs . \square

Theorem 3.6. Let $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -open mapping. If X is an intuitionistic fuzzy regular space then Y is IFe^*Rs .

Theorem 3.7. Every IFe^*Rs and IFT_2 space is an $IFe^*T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ space.

Proof. Let (X, T) be an IFTS which is IFe^*Rs and IFT_2 . Let p and q be two IFP's with different supports in X . Since X is an IFT_2 , there exist two IFOS's M and N such that $p \subseteq M, q \not\subseteq M, q \subseteq N, p \not\subseteq N$ and $M \not\subseteq N$ or $IFe^*cl(M) \not\subseteq N$. So that $q \not\subseteq M$. Since $IFe^*cl(M)$ is an IFCS and (X, T) is an IFe^*Rs . So by Proposition 3.2, there exist an IFe^*OS 's M_1 and M_2 such that $IFe^*cl(M) \subseteq M_2, q \subseteq M_1$ and $IFe^*cl(M_1) \not\subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2)$. Since $p \subseteq IFe^*cl(M)$, we have $p \subseteq M_2, q \subseteq M_1$, and $IFe^*cl(M_1) \not\subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2)$. Hence the space (X, T) is $IFe^*T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ space. \square

Definition 3.4. An IFTS (X, T) is said to be

- (i) intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular space (i) (briefly, $IFe^*Rs(i)$) if for an IFP p and an IFe^*CS N such that $p \not\subseteq N$, there exist two IFe^*OS 's M_1 and M_2 such that $N \subseteq M_2, p \subseteq M_1$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$.
- (ii) intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular space (ii) (briefly, $IFe^*Rs(ii)$) if for an IFP p and an IFe^*CS N such that $p \not\subseteq N$, there exist two IFOS's M_1 and M_2 such that $N \subseteq M_2, p \subseteq M_1$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$.

Theorem 3.8. An IFTS (X, T) is said to be

- (i) $IFe^*Rs(i)$ if for an IFP p and an IFe^*OS M_1 such that $p \subseteq M_1$, there exist an IFe^*OS M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_2 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2) \subseteq M_1$.
- (ii) $IFe^*Rs(ii)$ if for an IFP p and an IFe^*OS M_1 such that $p \subseteq M_1$, there exist an IFOS M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_2 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2) \subseteq M_1$.

Proof. (i) Let M_1 be an IFe^*OS such that $p \subseteq M_1$, then $p \not\subseteq M_1^c$ and M_1^c is an IFe^*CS . Therefore, there exist two IFOS's N and K such that $p \subseteq N, M_1^c \subseteq K$ and $N \not\subseteq K$. Now, we can get $IFe^*cl(N)^c \subseteq (M_1^c)^c = M_1$ and $P \subseteq N \subseteq IFe^*cl(N) \subseteq M_1$. It is clear that $p \subseteq N \subseteq IFe^*int(IFe^*cl(N) \subseteq IFe^*cl(N) \subseteq M_1)$. Therefore, if we put $IFe^*int(IFe^*cl(N) = M_2$, then $p \subseteq N \subseteq M_2 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2) \subseteq M_1$, where M_2 is an IFOS. The converse is clear.

(ii) The proof is similar to (i). \square

Theorem 3.9. An IFTS (X, T) is said to be

- (i) $IFe^*Rs(i)$ if for an IFP p and an IFe^*CS N such that $p \not\subseteq N^c$, there exist two IFe^*OS 's M_1 and M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_1, N \subseteq M_2$, and $IFe^*cl(M_1) \not\subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2)$.
- (ii) $IFe^*Rs(ii)$ if for an IFP p and an IFe^*CS N such that $p \not\subseteq N$, there exist two IFOS's M_1 and M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_1, N \subseteq M_2$, and $IFe^*cl(M_1) \not\subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2)$.

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem 3.8. \square

Theorem 3.10. Let f be an injective, intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -irresolute mapping from an IFTS (X, T) into an IFTS (Y, S) . Then X is e^*Rs if Y is e^*Rs .

Theorem 3.11. Let (X, T) be an $IFe^*Rs(i)$ which is also IFe^*T_0 . Then (X, T) is $IFe^*T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. Let p and q are IFP's with different supports. Since (X, T) is a IFe^*T_0 space, then there exists an IFe^*OS M such that $p \not\subseteq M, q \not\subseteq M$ or $q \subseteq M, p \not\subseteq M$. Consider the part $p \not\subseteq M, q \not\subseteq M$. This implies that $p \subseteq (M^c)^c$, where M^c is an IFe^*CS . Since (X, T) is

$IFe^*Rs(i)$, so by Theorem 3.9, there exist $IFe^*OS's$ N and K such that $p \subseteq N, M^c \subseteq K$ and $IFe^*cl(N) \not\subseteq IFe^*cl(K)$, or $p \subseteq N, q \subseteq K$ and $IFe^*cl(N) \not\subseteq IFe^*cl(K)$. Hence (X, T) is an $IFe^*T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let (X, T) be an $IFe^*Rs(ii)$ which is also IFe^*T_0 . Then (X, T) is $IFe^*T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Theorem 3.13. *Let (X, T) be an $IFe^*Rs(ii)$ which is also IFe^*T_2 . Then (X, T) is $IFe^*T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Definition 3.5. An IFTS (X, T) is said to be

- (i) intuitionistic Fuzzy e^*T_3 space (i) (briefly, $IFe^*T_3s(i)$) if it is $IFe^*Rs(i)$ as well as IFe^*-T_1s space.
- (ii) intuitionistic Fuzzy e^*T_3 space (ii) (briefly, $IFe^*T_3s(ii)$) if it is $IFe^*Rs(ii)$ as well as IFe^*-T_1s space.

4. e^* -NORMALITY IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 4.1. An IFTS (X, T) is said to be

- (i) intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -normal space (briefly, IFe^*Ns) if for every pair of IFCS's N_1 and N_2 such that $N_1 \not\subseteq N_2$, there exist two $IFe^*OS's$ M_1 and M_2 such that $N_1 \subseteq M_1, N_2 \subseteq M_2$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$.
- (ii) intuitionistic fuzzy e^*T_4 spac (briefly, IFe^*T_4s)e if it is an IFe^*Ns as well as IFe^*-T_1s space.

Theorem 4.1. *An IFTS (X, T) is an IFe^*Ns if and only if for every IFCS N_1 and every IFOS N_2 such that $N_1 \subseteq N_2$ there exists an IFe^*OS M such that $N_1 \subseteq M \subseteq IFe^*cl(M) \subseteq N_2$.*

Proof. Let (X, T) be an IFe^*Ns and let $N_1 \subseteq N_2$, where N_1 is a IFCS and N_2 is an IFOS, then $(N_2)^c$ is an IFCS but (X, T) be an IFe^*Ns hence there exist two $IFe^*OS's$ M_1 and M_2 such that $N_1 \subseteq M_1, (N_2)^c \subseteq M_2$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$, therefore $N_1 \subseteq M \subseteq (M_2)^c \subseteq N_2$ that implies $IFe^*cl(N_1) \subseteq IFe^*cl(M) \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_2)^c \subseteq IFe^*cl(N_2)$, then $N_1 \subseteq IFe^*cl(N_1) \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_1) \subseteq (M_2)^c \subseteq N_2$. Hence $N_1 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq IFe^*cl(M_1) \subseteq N_2$. The converse is clear. \square

Theorem 4.2. *If $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ is closed injective, intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -continuous mapping and (Y, S) is a intuitionistic fuzzy normal space, then (X, T) is an IFe^*Ns .*

Theorem 4.3. *If $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -open mapping. Then (X, T) is a intuitionistic fuzzy normal space, if (Y, S) is an IFe^*Ns .*

Theorem 4.4. *Every IFe^*Ns and IFTs space is IFe^*Rs .*

Proof. Let (X, T) be an IFe^*Ns and IFTs space. Let p and N be respectively, an IFP and IFe^*CS in X and $p \not\subseteq N$. Since (X, T) is IFTS, then p is an IFe^*CS and since (X, T) is an IFe^*Ns , there exist $IFe^*OS's$ M_1 and M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_1, N \subseteq M_2$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$. Hence (X, T) is an IFe^*Rs . \square

Definition 4.2. An IFTS (X, T) is said to be

- (i) intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -normal space (i) (briefly, $IFe^*Ns(i)$) if for every pair of $IFe^*CS's$ N_1 and N_2 such that $N_1 \not\subseteq N_2$, there exist two $IFe^*OS's$ M_1 and M_2 such that $N_1 \subseteq M_1, N_2 \subseteq M_2$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$.

- (ii) intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -normal space (ii) (briefly, $IFe^*Ns(ii)$) if for every pair of $IFe^*CS's$ N_1 and N_2 such that $N_1 \not\subseteq N_2$, there exist two IFOS's M_1 and M_2 such that $N_1 \subseteq M_1, N_2 \subseteq M_2$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$.

Theorem 4.5. (1) Every $IFe^*Ns(i)$ and IFe^*Ts space is $IFe^*Rs(i)$.

(2) Every $IFe^*Ns(ii)$ and IFe^*Ts space is $IFe^*Rs(ii)$.

Proof. (1) Let (X, T) be an $IFe^*Ns(i)$ and IFe^*Ts space. Let p and N be respectively, an IFP and an IFe^*CS in X and $p \not\subseteq N$. Since (X, T) is IFe^*Ts , then p is an IFe^*CS and since (X, T) is $IFe^*Ns(i)$, there exist an $IFe^*OS's$ M_1 and M_2 such that $p \subseteq M_1, N \subseteq M_2$ and $M_1 \not\subseteq M_2$. Hence (X, T) is an $IFe^*Rs(i)$.

Proof for case (2) is similarly follow. □

Definition 4.3. An IFTS (X, T) is said to be

- (i) intuitionistic fuzzy e^*T_4 space (i) (briefly, $IFe_4^T s(i)$) if it is $IFe^*Ns(i)$ as well as IFe^*-T_1s space.
(ii) intuitionistic fuzzy e^*T_4 space (ii) (briefly, $IFe_4^T s(ii)$) if it is $IFe^*Ns(ii)$ as well as IFe^*-T_1s space.

Theorem 4.6. (1) Every $IFe^*T_4s(i)$ is $IFe^*T_3s(i)$

(2) Every $IFe^*T_4s(ii)$ is $IFe^*T_3s(ii)$

Proof. Strictly follow from Theorem 4.5 □

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have introduced and studied the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -open and e^* -closed sets to some new types of intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -separation axioms, intuitionistic fuzzy e^*-T_i space (for $i = 3, 4$) and intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular and e^* -normal spaces are introduced, some theorems about them are investigated. Stronger forms of intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -regular and intuitionistic fuzzy e^* -normal spaces are introduced. Moreover, the relationships between these separation axioms and others are investigated.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank from the anonymous reviewers for carefully reading of the manuscript and giving useful comments, which will help us to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20, (1986), 87-96.
- [2] G. Balasubramanian, Fuzzy β open sets and fuzzy β separation axioms, Kybernetika, 32(2) (1999), 215-223.
- [3] S Bayhan and D. Coker, On fuzzy separation axioms in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Busefal, 67 (1996), 77-87.
- [4] S. Bayhan and D. Coker, On separation axioms in intuitionistic topological spaces, Intern. Jour. Math. Sci. 27(10) (2001), 621-630.
- [5] S. Bayhan and D. Coker, Pairwise separation axioms in intuitionistic topological spaces, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 34 (2005), 101-114.
- [6] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24, (1968), 182-190.
- [7] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 88, (1997), 81-89.
- [8] D. Coker and M. Demirci, On intuitionistic fuzzy points, NIFS, 1 (2), (1995), 79-84.
- [9] D. Coker, An introduction to fuzzy subspaces in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Journal of fuzzy mathematics, 4 (1996) 749-764.

- [10] E. Ekici, On e -open sets, DP^* -sets and DPe^* -sets and decompositions of continuity, Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 33 (2A)(2008), 269-282.
- [11] E. Ekici, Some generalizations of almost contra-super-continuity, Filomat, 21 (2) (2007), 31-44.
- [12] E. Ekici, New forms of contra-continuity, Carpathian Journal of Mathematics, bf 24 (1) (2008), 37-45.
- [13] E. Ekici, On e^* -open sets and $(D, S)^*$ -sets, Mathematica Moravica, 13 (1) (2009), 29-36.
- [14] E. Ekici, A note on a -open sets and e^* -open sets, Filomat, 22 (1) (2008), 89-96.
- [15] M.H. Ghanim, E.E. Kerre and A.S. Mashhour, Separation axioms, subspace and sums in fuzzy topology, J. Math.Anal.Appl. 102 (1984), 189-202.
- [16] S. J. Lee and E. P. Lee, The category of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 37(1) (2000), 63-76.
- [17] F.G. Lupianez, Hausdorffness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Mathware soft comp. 10 (2003) 17-22.
- [18] F.G. Lupianez, Separation in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 17(1) (2004), 29-34.
- [19] F.S. Mahmoud, M.A. Fath Alla and S.M. Abd Ellah, Fuzzy topology on fuzzy sets, fuzzy semicontinuity and fuzzy semiseparation axioms, Appl.Math.Comput. 153 (2004),127-140.
- [20] H.A. Othman and S. Latha, On fuzzy α separation axioms, Bull.Kerala Math Asso. 5(1) (2009), 31-38.
- [21] H.AI. Qahtani and Abdul Gawad Al-Qubati, On fuzzy pre-separation axioms, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 4(4) (2013), 1-6.
- [22] R. Santhi and D. Jayanthi, Generalised semi-pre connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 3(2), (2012), 243-253.
- [23] V. Seenivasan and K. Kamala, Fuzzy e -continuity and fuzzy e -open sets, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 8, (1) (2014), 141-148.
- [24] A.K. Singh and R. Srivastava, Separation axioms in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Advances in fuzzy systems, (2012), 1-7.
- [25] M.K. Singal and N. Prakash, Fuzzy pre-open sets and fuzzy pre-separation axioms, fuzzy sets and systems, 44 (1991), 273-281.
- [26] M.K. Singal and N. Rahvansi, Regular open sets in fuzzy topological spaces, fuzzy sets and systems, 50 (1992), 343-353.
- [27] S. Sivasangari, R. Balakumar and G. Saravanakumar, e^* -connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Annals of Communications in Mathematics, 4(1) (2021), 26-34.
- [28] S. Sivasangari, R. Balakumar and G. Saravanakumar, On e^* -Separation Axioms in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Applied Science and Computer Mathematics, 2(1) (2021), 9-16.
- [29] D. Sobana, V. Chandrasekar and A. Vadivel, On Fuzzy e -open Sets, Fuzzy e -continuity and Fuzzy e -compactness in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis, 12 (1) 2018, 131-153.
- [30] S. S. Thakur and S. Singh, On fuzzy semi-pre open sets and fuzzy semi-pre continuity, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, (1998), 383-391.
- [31] N. Turnali and D. Coker, Fuzzy connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 116,(2000), 369-375.
- [32] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, 8, (1965), 338-353.

S. SIVASANGARI,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PONNAIYAH RAMAJAYAM INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY (PRIST)
(INSTITUTION DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY), THANJAVUR-613403, TAMILNADU

Email address: sivasangarimaths2020@gmail.com

G. SARAVANAKUMAR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VEL TECH RANGARAJAN DR.SAGUNTHALA R&D INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY), AVADI, CHENNAI-600062, INDIA.

Email address: saravananguru2612@gmail.com